

REGULAR MEETING
February 27, 2023
Commissioners Meeting Room
William E. Sturm Administration Building
4 Moore Road
Cape May Court House, New Jersey 08210

Proceedings electronically recorded. Due to inadequate recording devices used throughout proceedings, transcript provided to best of transcriber's ability.

CSR REPORTING SERVICES
1125 ATLANTIC AVENUE
ATLANTIC CITY NJ 08401

1	MATT: Statement pertaining to
2	the Open Meeting Act. This is a notice read
3	pursuant to the requirements of the Open
4	Meetings Act. At least 48 hours in advanced
5	notice of this meeting has been provided by
6	delivering the same in writing to the county
7	clerk and the clerk of the Board of chosen
8	freeholders to be posted on the bulletin
9	boards and delivering a copy of the notice
10	to the press of Atlantic City. Roll call?
11	BARB: Natali.
12	MR. NATALI: Present.
13	BARB: Jamie.
14	JAMIE: Present.
15	BARB: Matt.
16	MATT: Present.
17	BARB: Sue.
18	SUE: Here.
19	BARB: Bob.
20	BOB: Here.
21	BARB: (Indiscernible
22	00:00:56).
23	MR. BATISTINI: At this
24	point, this is going to be a continuation of
25	the application regarding block 723, Lot 37.

With respect to the application for a right to farm, the property is located in the R Residential Zoning District.

ask the applicant's attorney Collin Bell to come up and initiate. This is going to be the second portion of the application. The first portion with regard to determining whether or not it meets the requirements of a commercial farm has already been resolved and voted on.

At this point, we're moving forward with the SS AMPs. Mr. Bell.

MR. BELL: Thank you, Mr.

Batistini. Thank you members of the board for your time and convening once again for hopefully the last time on this matter.

As Mr. Batistini said, the commercial farm determination, you've already made, there was a challenge to that. You voted not to reopen that, we're here just to determine whether or not the SS AMP for this particular farm should be granted. I know you're very familiar with the property at this point. It's a fully

22

23

24

25

preserved farm. It's been farmed for over 50 years. What this SS AMP is, is now solely for a wine production facility essentially to continue to grow the grapes they're already growing, expand slightly the amount of grapes they're already growing, take the existing pole barn, which has already existed and permitted by the municipality, and convert that not into a tasting room, into a wine production bottling, and storage facility only for any sales that would occur to be offsite along with cultivating in, again, in the same facility fruit trees they might plant and associated byproducts, grapevine, wood grapes, seed oil, grape skin flour, vinegar, byproducts of wine, and fruit wine production.

This SS AMP does not ask for a a tasting room. It does not ask for a salesroom. It does not ask for onsite commercial tasting. It does not request permission for special occasion events or on-farm direct marketing activity, and I submit to you the dash should eliminate any concerns about traffic, noise, people, music

1∥ gatherings.

Essentially they're going to grow grapes, make those grapes into wine, bottle it, and sell it offsite. That's what the application is. That's consistent with the use that's already happening now.

They're already growing grapes.

They're just now going to make those grapes into wine instead of shipping those grapes offsite to be made into wine.

We anticipate you're going to hear opposition, which of course is everybody's right to come forward and be heard during a proceeding like this. I think a lot of what you're going to hear is about fears about what might be done in the future, which I submit to you is not a roper consideration for the board. If there's some change in the future, they're going to have to come back to you or to the municipality for permission to do anything different.

I'd submit to you our application is going to be on what this specific proposed use is. You might hear

about pesticide use. I think as farmers, you understand how that all works but you're going to hear testimony that there's been multiple complaints by neighbors to the DEP. The DEP has conducted investigations.

They've never found any wrongdoing whatsoever. They found that everything is in compliance with regulations.

Maybe some concerns about whether or not this is a viable operation. Again, that's not really, I submit a valid concern.

Whether or not they make good wine is not something that I think is proper for the board to consider. That would be not giving someone a zoning variance for a restaurant because you don't think the chef is a good chef.

I'd submit to you on what we're going to submit in terms of evidence from our professionals. You're going to hear that this is a very standard wine production proposal that complies with generally accepted agricultural management practices.

I will, before I call witnesses, tell you exactly what we're asking for, which is contained in our proposed resolution that we submitted, what we're asking you to find, and what conditions we've already proposed beyond that approval.

approval to retrofit the existing pole barn into a wine production and storage facility. We're asking you to find that the cultivation, harvesting of grape wines and tree fruit, and the production and packaging of those agricultural products into wine and associated byproducts is a generally accepted agricultural management practice in New Jersey.

We're asking you to find again that the development of a wine production and bottling facility by retrofitting the existing pole barn is consistent with generally accepted agricultural management practices.

We're asking you to find that converting that pole barn into a wine

implicate any health, safety, or welfare issues and that the applicants have a legitimate farm based reason for doing so.

We're asking you to find that the production cultivation, harvesting, and storage of wine grapes and tree fruit, including the application of appropriate pesticides and fertilizer is an appropriate use at this farm and specifically, we've incorporated two preexisting generally accepted agricultural management practices in terms of the use of fertilizer and pesticides into our application.

First, we're asking you to approve the application of appropriate pesticides and fertilizers consistent with the Rutgers Agricultural Experiment Station 2019 commercial great pest control recommendations for New Jersey. That is a document published by Rutgers for use by grape farmers in New Jersey. We're asking that we be allowed to, as part of this SS AMP that be approved, and also the SADC has already approved a generally accepted

agricultural management practice for commercial tree farm production as an AMP 2A76-2.6. We're asking you to approve as part of this approval, those techniques already adopted by the SADC as generally accepted agricultural management practices.

I think you heard testimony before in the exhibits are in evidence that there's approved conservation plans at the state local level for this farm. We're asking you to approve the farm to continue to engage in the practices under those approved conservation plans.

Ultimately, the production, bottling, packaging, and storage of wine on the farm for sale, offsite, or online for shipping offsite not on the premises. Along with the production, like I said before, of the wine byproducts and the storage in the existing pole barn of supplementary and complimentary agricultural products such as branded wine glasses, wine accessory shirts, hats, similar promotional items that will go along with the wine.

That is all we're asking for

24

25

in this application. The application has some conditions one, and these are things that we're agreeing to and we think that it should be conditions of your approval of this application, that all of the construction in the pole barn to convert it to a wine production bottling facility. in accordance with applicable construction and building code standards subject to inspection for compliance for code requirements by Upper Township. We think Upper Township has the right to inspect the construction, make sure that it complies with the building codes. That all the wine production, bottling, and storage being compliance with all ABC regulations. should be, that's the law. That should be a condition that you place on the approval, that the farm comply with all of the applicable ABC laws and regulations.

I think this is important for everybody, if there's a substantial change or deviations from the provisions of this SS AMP in the future, that the applicants or anybody else, any aggrieved party be able to

return to the board to seek the appropriate 1 belief. That is the substance of what this 2 application is. 3 Mr. Batistini, do I need to 4 have our expert requalified again, or --5 MR. BATISTINI: I don't think 6 This board's already heard him before, 7 but if you want to bring them up, I'll swear 8 them in and I'll ask the board, but I don't 9 10 think he needs to be requalified. (Mr. Orlando sworn) 11 MR. BATISTINI: Could you tell 12 13 us your name and address and what you do? MR. ORLANDO: Good morning, 14 My name is Vincent Orlando. 15 everyone. 16 a partner in the firm of Engineering Design 17 Associates, located in the Greenfield 18 section of Upper Township. I'm a licensed 19 professional engineer, licensed professional 20 planner, licensed landscape architect, and 21 certified municipal engineer and I hold all 22 those licenses in the state of New Jersey. 23 MR. BATISTINI: This board 24 has seen Mr. Orlando before. Is that 25 correct?

1	BOARD: Yes.
2	MR. BATISTINI: You recognize
3	him as a professional in his field?
4	BOARD: Yes.
5	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you,
6	Mr. Orlando, you may continue. Mr. Bell as
7	well.
8	MR. ORLANDO: Thank you, sir.
9	I'm going to refer to the exhibit for our
10	site plan specifically. Sheet three.
11	MR. BATISTINI: Mr. Orlando,
12	this is 2010/2023 last revised?
13	MR. ORLANDO: That's correct.
14	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you.
15	MR. ORLANDO: As Mr. Bell has
16	indicated from our last presentation of this
17	board hence has been revised. If the board
18	recalls, we had a case room, we had parking,
19	we had lighting, we had a whole lot of
20	things going on with this site.
21	The applicant had decided to
22	dramatically peel back this site plan just
23	to include what the presentation here today
24	is, is the growing the grape and production
25	of wine within the existing pole barn. The

property will use the driveway, which is the residential driveway off of Bayaire Drive, and they'll continue to use that driveway for the home and for the production room.

There are two existing fields or three existing fields, one here on the west-only portion of the site, two in the back area and as part of this application, we are expanding the field to the east as shown on the plan.

One of the things that this application does, we have existing buffers on site roughly 12 and 15 feet on here.

This buffer along the north side varies from approximately 22 feet to 25 feet.

The buffer on the west side or the south side is approximately 30 feet. That's a vegetated buffer that currently exists. The buffer on the north side, we intend to increase the 30 feet. We're proposing a six-foot high fence for the first three properties with some planting and some shade trees along the Warner Metal trees along that side. The fourth property Lot 46 currently has the fence and Lot 47

has an existing buffer consisting of trellis and some grapevines so that the buffer on the north side, we're proposing to enhance with some fencing and some ornamental trees.

Upper township ordinance calls for a 10-foot buffer in a residential zone. We're a minimum of 30 foot along this side. These buffers will remain as is. I did have an opportunity to speak to one of the representatives for Upper Township, and we've indicated that we will show on the plan the exact dimensions of the buffers specifically on the plan so that in the future those numbers are solidified so there's no encroachment on those buffers.

Again, it'll be 30 foot on the other side and the buffers will remain here, which I think its 15 and 15.8 and then 28 feet.

MR. BATISTINI: Mr. Orlando, on those buffers, you say the buffers for the north and of the south all going to remain at 30 feet?

MR. ORLANDO: Yes. The buffer on the north side currently ranges

1	from 22 to 25 feet. We will increase that
2	to 30 feet as said on the plan.
3	MR. BATISTINI: It's north
4	and south?
5	MR. ORLANDO: North and
6	south.
7	MR. HALPERN: Sorry to
8	interrupt. We don't want to increase that.
9	We're talking about the south side without
10	(inaudible 00:15:37).
11	MR. ORLANDO: North side
12	remains the same.
13	MR. HALPERN: Yeah, it's to
14	stay the same.
15	MR. ORLANDO: I'm sorry, I
16	misspoke. Buffers on the north side will
17	remain the same, and they are from 22.1 feet
18	to 25.4 feet on the north side. The south
19	side will be 30 feet, and those buffers
20	currently are to the existing grapevine.
21	We don't want to pull any grapevine from
22	that area, but they'll be duly noted on the
23	plan to show what those buffers currently
24	exist at.
25	MR. BELL: What did you say,

Mr. Orlando, what was the buffer required in 1 this zone? 2 MR. ORLANDO: Well, in the R 3 zone it's 10 feet. Access to the site, like 4 I indicated, will be off of Bayaire. Those 5 activities will include the Halperns coming 6 and going to their home. They maintain the 7 They work the farm, they harvest the 8 farm. grapes. Any byproduct that's relieved will 9 10 go by pickup or maybe a small box truck. There are no large vehicles that are in the 11 site and it'll be used in Bayaire just says 12 13 all, any commercial projects, FedEx delivery trucks, there's a mechanical shop in 14 They'll use that current road. 15 the area. 16 There are no limitations to 17 the road and the only access to this property will be from Bayaire. There will 18 19 no be no access from Allendale. 20 MR. BELL: Now, you said 21 restrictions, certain streets like Allendale 22 in the township are restricted by ordinance 23 from commercial traffic, right? 24 MR. ORLANDO: That's correct. 25 They have a five-ton limit.

1	MR. BELL: Bayaire is not one
2	of those restricted streets?
3	MR. ORLANDO: That is correct.
4	MR. BELL: I want to go back
5	to, can you go back to the first page here,
6	now you have this chart here? Can you
7	explain to the members of the board the
8	zoning information that required existing
9	proposed and whether a variance would be
10	required if this was before a planning or
11	zoning board?
12	MR. ORLANDO: I looked at the
13	zoning for the R zone and how it pertains to
14	the project in question and I've outlined
15	for the board's consideration, the
16	requirements will currently exist and what
17	currently proposed and all those
18	requirements are met or exceeded. Under the
19	R zone, there are no variance being sought.
20	MR. BELL: In other words, if
21	this was an application before planning or
22	zoning board, there would be no requirement
23	for any bulk variances?
24	MR. ORLANDO: I believe so.
25	MR. BELL: Now, let's talk

about the buffering. I want to go back 1 there. Did you consider what the municipal 2 requirements for buffering would be? 3 MR. ORLANDO: Well, we looked 4 at the requirements under the yards are at 5 10 feet and we currently see that, but we 6 wanted to take into account some of the 7 concerns on the north side so that's why 8 we're proposing fencing along Lots 43, 44 9 and 45 with the establishments or 10 environmental trees as indicated. 11 currently has a fence and Lot 47 currently, 12 in my opinion, has adequate buffer with the 13 trellis and grapevine. 14 MR. BELL: Along the south 15 16 side here, this is, this is fully wooded, 17 right? 18 MR. ORLANDO: Yeah, so the 19 south side currently has existing vegetation 20 along that entire property line which will 21 not be removed as part of this application; 22 it'll remain. 23 MR. BELL: Now, you talked 24 about trucks and access. What would the 25 proposed usage be noticeably different from

the current usage in terms of traffic? 1 MR. ORLANDO: They could be 2 exactly the same. There will be no increase 3 in traffic to the current standards that Mr. 4 Halpern currently uses the property for. 5 MR. BELL: This revised plan, 6 the dimensions of the property haven't 7 changed at all since. 8 MR. ORLANDO: 9 No. MR. BELL: The areas where we 10 had proposed growing and that activity 11 remained the same, right? 12 MR. ORLANDO: The area for 13 the activities for grapes the outbound 14 15 property has not changed. They're exactly 16 as was proposed the last time we were here. 17 MR. BELL: Do you have the 18 schematic with the actual proposed wine 19 production facility? Can you just outline 20 for the members of the board, what we're 21 proposing to actually occur inside the 22 building? 23 MR. ORLANDO: If you look at 24 the proposed floor plan, which is on sheet 25 three or four, you see a small office, you

1	see a storage area, you see a production
2	area, and you see a restroom. Those are the
3	components of the existing metal building,
4	won't be any change to that, they'll
5	specifically for production and wine
6	storage.
7	MR. BELL: There's no tasting
8	area proposed.
9	MR. ORLANDO: There's no
10	tasting there's no seating. All that has
11	been removed from the plant.
12	MR. BELL: There's no
13	proposed area for customer parking.
14	MR. ORLANDO: There is none
15	that has been eliminated from the plan.
16	MR. BELL: What about
17	lighting that would be for customers and
18	that thing?
19	MR. ORLANDO: All that has
20	been removed existing lighting for the
21	senior family home will remain as if there
22	won't be any lighting for the metal storage
23	building. The only addition we do have is a
24	small trash enclosure for cartons and
25	debris, things of that nature.

MR. BELL: Do you have an 1 opinion as to whether or not the proposed 2 application and what's proposed on the site 3 plan is consistent with the general 4 agricultural purpose of wine production and 5 wine grape and associated fruit tree 6 production and wine production plant? 7 MR. ORLANDO: I think this is 8 a perfect example of what we believe is a 9 10 compromise to come in to grow our grapes, Mr. Halpern grow his grapes and produce 11 All the things that were brought up 12 wine. at the last hearing, traffic, lighting, 13 tasting rooms, events, noise, eliminated 14 15 from the plan. 16 I believe in my opinion that 17 this is a very good compromise that the 18 applicant is coming forth in good faith to 19 grow his grapes and produce wine to a small 20 degree. 21 MR. BELL: Any of the board 22 members have questions for Mr. Orlando? 23 MR. NATALI: Do you know how 24 big the size of the production in terms of 25 numbers of cases that are going to be

1	produced?
2	MR. BELL: I'm going to have
3	Mr. Halpern testify to that in just a
4	minute.
5	MR. NATALI: Okay. What
6	about power? Is this going to need
7	three-phase power or is that well, I'll
8	just wait if okay.
9	MR. BELL: Any other
10	questions for Mr. Orlando? Mr. Batistini,
11	you want to swear in
12	(Mr. Halpern sworn)
13	MR. BATISTINI: What's your
14	name and address and your position as well,
15	please?
16	MR. HALPERN: I'm Mike
17	Halpern. I live at 8 Bayaire Road in
18	Marmora. I'm the owner and operator,
19	co-owner and operator of Engine One
20	Vineyards LLC, and Ocean City Winery.
21	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you.
22	MR. BELL: Now, before we get
23	into some other topics, see if you can
24	address Mr. Natali's questions
25	MR. HALPERN: with the

board.

MR. BELL: Yeah, sure.

Whatever you need.

MR. HALPERN: All right. I have a description of what we think we're going to be able to produce on-site. I know it's a little hard to see and I apologize.

Copies are sitting on my desk at home. It's just about how it went this morning.

We work under the business of Engine One Vineyards LLC. We've been in business 25 years now, and we've been selling wine grapes to wineries in New Jersey since 1999 or 2000, roughly. I think was our first crop that made any money.

We are two components. We have the what should have been, and we'll be titled the Ocean City Winery in Marmor and then we run our E-1 fields at Fairfield Township. These farm are, as we've mentioned before, preserved land. They were preserved roughly at the same time. This one, we were the owners of when we preserved it so we're familiar with the process.

UNIDENTIFIED: Can you speak

into a microphone, please, because we may 1 need record points. 2 MR. HALPERN: Yeah, you want 3 me to come back there? 4 5 UNIDENTIFIED: Sure, yes. Stand here. I'll see it. 6 7 MR. HALPERN: Happy to do it. MR. BATISTINI: We're going 8 to mark that as exhibit one, Engine One 9 Vineyards 5 --10 MR. HALPERN: Farm management 11 12 unit, whatever you like. 13 MR. BATISTINI: Farm poster. MR. HALPERN: All right. I 14 think that and I did some reference some 15 16 Cornell studies that out of our three to 17 four acres, we should be able to produce 18 nine tons of grapes. This is in Fairfield 19 It's a combination of French and Township. 20 American. 21 The American are far more 22 productive than the French. I did some 23 averaging, and I think nine tons is 24 certainly within range. It matches what we 25 get out of our Fairfield Township French

grapes. About four harvests a year, that's
all the grapes we have and so that moves
pretty quickly. It leaves a couple of tons
at a time.

t's done on the back of my
truck, over the small trailer. I believe
following the metrics that will produce
about 1,350 gallons of wine and you'll note
on the chart, I footnoted the reference I'm
using. It is a Cornell study. It matches
my experience with selling to other wineries
and that produces about 6750 bottles of
wine, that falls into the range of something
you can hand bottle, by the way. A lot of
places do. That certainly is manageable.

I think the revenue is pretty decent off of that, I'll let you come up with a bottle price and multiply it yourself. I think that's where we are now.

In other terms of the stuff in E-one and Fairfield Township has about a 10-ton historical average and that covers everything, drought, dealers, good years, bad years, et cetera. Little different type of harvest. It's five max and frankly, that

right now we're selling them to New Jersey wineries. If we decided to move back into our production facility with that, it's another 7,500 bottle.

It's pretty considerable,
there's your, -- I'm sorry Mr. Natali,
that's your answer as to the number of cases
for our location and total capability.

MR. BELL: I want to talk a little bit about traffic at your facility. Can you tell me what type of traffic you have now and whether that traffic will be similar or different under the proposed activities under this SSA AMP?

MR. HALPERN: The current traffic is essentially our residence, as we've mentioned, and some movement of equipment about once a week in the summer, and sometimes every 10 or so days, I do move equipment to the other farm, and that involves a trailer and probably a mower or tractor, depending on what I'm doing so that's a round trip.

MR. BELL: Wait, when you say a trailer, what are you pulling the trailer

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |

with?

Same truck we use for just about everything. We manage to move all of our equipment that way. As I said, we do most of our harvests right out of the back of the pickup or a smaller trailer. We own two trailers and we use both of them.

MR. HALPERN: My pickup.

There's not much that I see in addition. I'm not sure, I think we may be the only ones that know this, we harvest four times. I don't think anybody saw it or knew what we were doing because we're in and out to do that and it leaves fairly quickly.

MR. BELL: Besides your truck pulling your own trailer, any other commercial traffic?

MR. HALPERN: Well, most of our stuff comes interstate through either UPS or FedEx. I would say the vast majority of what we order, depending on volume and size comes that way. Everything else I pick up and bring back in myself.

MR. BELL: Would that be any 21 different under this proposed SS AMP?

25

14

15

16

17

MR. HALPERN: I see some more material coming in, but it's not a weekly thing. I would imagine that as we get to harvest season and we need to do produce, do bottling, we may have to make some pickups externally to bring in cases of bottles, et cetera but it's not a lot of cases.

For instance, this week we had a delivery of our trellising. That's very rare. Once you're trellised, you never have another trellising delivery unless you've done something terribly wrong. That was an example of one truck in and out and we won't have a return for doing that.

To answer your question, I think it's going to be a minimal increase in traffic.

MR. BELL: In terms of transporting bottles offsite, how do you anticipate moving one out?

MR. HALPERN: I think it can go in either my SUV, which is large enough to carry enough to outlets, or on the back of my pickup or we may pick up a small enclosed trailer to do that.

MR. BELL: Just for -- so the record is clear. When you mentioned a Cornell study, what exactly were you referencing?

MR. HALPERN: A Cornell study includes metrics for field metrics of ST yield, and it talks about what you get out of Vinifera, which is French, and what you get out of Vitis Riparia, which is basically a native, and it talks about volume and what you can expect per acre in terms of tonnage, what you can expect per ton in terms of gallons.

MR. BELL: Can you tell the board -- I know we addressed it at prior applications and his DEP licensure is in the record already, but can you tell the board generally what types of fertilizer and pesticide you use?

MR. HALPERN: Yeah, nothing is general, right? I have it done pretty specifically. As you mentioned, I've had a private certified pesticide. I've been a private certified pesticide applicator since turn of the century so 1999, 2000

1 continuously.

My current license expires in '24. I have a paraquet handling certification, although we're not using paraquet currently; that expires in '26. That's a new federal requirement to have that. We follow both the records and the Cornell University guidelines for vineyards. They're very complete.

They contain what they'd like you to apply and when, how many days, what you look for, talk about diseases, et cetera.

They're my best source for finding out what's new in the industry so we do that. Let's see.

Every year we create an IPM, which is an Integrated Pesticide Management plan and that gets adjusted yearly based on weather. This year was interesting, the year before, too much rain and you have to make adjustments and I make adjustments by scouting.

 $$\operatorname{\sc I'm}$$  in the field all the time looking at the plants and interestingly

enough, this year we had a big change to our plan because we found monarch butterflies and we noticed them feeding. Then we started looking around the property, and it turns out one of our larger trees had a colony every night, returned monarchs.

This is a stewardship of the land issue. Monarchs are a little bit rare and we immediately stopped and made the decision not to spray anymore insecticides. It's all noted in my logs. From the moment we figured out where they were, we didn't spray again.

It was a little bit
uncomfortable for some of the tick issues
that we have but the butterflies won that
one in 2022, and this was all filed with
both the feds and the state. We had nine
sprays spray applications. We used a
combination of nine different products over
three and a half month, a little less period
of time.

We used -- let's see, of those nine, three are micro nutrients.

They're not regulated, but we still buy

labeled product. Six are considered organic products and four sprays and this is the first time we've been able to do this.

We're solidly organic only. As I said, that's a material that we have to submit all the time for doing that.

Let me do this first. We don't use nitrates. We've been accused of that repeatedly in public sessions that I'm damaging wells, I have all the labels.

I can leave them here. None of them, there are no nitrates supplied, so I don't use massive amounts of nitrogen.

Makes wine taste like green pepper. It's not a really desirable flavor.

Interestingly enough, the soil is terrible. We have limited nitrogen, and so it's tempting, but we don't do it.

There's no over-the-counter used, have never bought an over-the-counter product. I have a label and a receipt for everything.

Everything is labeled for grapes. You guys are farmers, you know that. Others don't know that.

It has to be labeled or you

can't use it. That's the law. By the way, if you go out and buy a big bag of turf builder, you get 50 pounds of turf builder, which most of the lawns in my neighborhood are large enough to need more than that, 15 pounds of that is straightened nitrate. That's 15 pounds more than I've ever put on my field, ever, so, interesting.

All right. Can I keep going a little bit?

MR. BELL: Yeah, you can get into that. Also, tell the board the type of equipment you use.

MR. HALPERN: That's where I was headed actually. As part of the adjustments, we're no longer using weed sprays. I have a Buffalo in-row cultivator, fancy little piece of equipment cost about 10 grand that rolls through the field and as it comes up to a vine, it has a sensor, it moves it out so I don't want to end up killing Robin's plants, and we're able to cultivate and we've done that now, I think two years, two seasons running.

We've been cultivating, which

works well. We have our second and this one's a new one, CIMA sprayer, that's a 42 for those who know the product. It is highly selective. You can pick directions, sides, nozzles, et cetera, pressure, and it has a low pressure so inside the tank, it's at 30 PSI, less than two atmospheres.

It is also uses 30 percent less liquid volume. To me, it's just the perfect way to do this because it's stunningly adjustable, and I can pick where I'm going with it. Not that this is part of spraying, but every square inch of my field and my farm is under a surveillance system, an industrial one, and therefore we are able to review, watch what we're doing, et cetera.

Robin spots for me, we do everything via radio and so if something is going the wrong direction, she stops me immediately for what we're doing. Those are the additions.

MR. BELL: I think the board is familiar with this, but you actually, you live on a house on the farm?

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

MR. HALPERN: Yeah, this is our house, and my grandkids come there. I'm not excited about putting something out that will cause a problem, and I'm certainly not interested in poisoning the well.

MR. BELL: Now, has your farm been the subject of any complaints and investigations by the DEP regarding pesticide use?

MR. HALPERN: Yes. We've -and I think we've submitted it as part of
the package. We've been -- I would say a
dozen or more, probably closer to 20 fairly
serious complaints that have all been
reviewed.

We've had onsite inspections and we've gotten what I believe to be a clean bill of health in both usage, et cetera. I think the fact that we follow the label carefully and that I'm very careful with how we do stuff is really important.

To date, we seem to be clear, the goal is to always be clear and if there's a correction and we need to make it, we make it immediately.

1	MR. BELL: For the record,
2	that was our exhibit B was a record of
3	various anonymous complaints, DEP
4	investigations, and DEP investigation
5	results and those were all complaints,
6	anonymous complaints from people who say
7	they live in the area, correct?
8	MR. HALPERN: Yes, correct.
9	Well, the area extends apparently out to 300
10	plus feet, but yes, in the area.
11	MR. BELL: You said the DEP
12	came to the site?
13	MR. HALPERN: Yes, they did.
14	MR. BELL: What did they do
15	while they were there?
16	MR. HALPERN: They made an
17	inspection of our facility. They looked at
18	my sprayer, they checked to make sure we
19	were storing and locking up chemicals
20	correctly, and that our building was marked
21	appropriately. I'm sorry, they got copies
22	of logs and any other information.
23	MR. BELL: Was there any
24	findings of any practice that you had done
25	incorrectly?

MR. HALPERN: I think just 1 the only thing I hadn't done in a timely 2 fashion was notify the fire department, and 3 we corrected that immediately. 4 MR. BELL: Any issue with any 5 of the quantities or fertilizers or 6 7 chemicals that you use? MR. HALPERN: No. We're 8 following the label to well, exactly. 9 were no label violations. 10 MR. BELL: Talk about any 11 noise that would be created in the 12 13 operation. Would it be any different than what's going on right now, if this SS AMP 14 15 where it be approved? 16 MR. HALPERN: I don't think 17 I think that the machinery we use a SO. 18 tractor and a sprayer that moves there. We've been using one of those for, gosh, 19 20 now, six, seven years, this SS AMP won't 21 change it. I mentioned earlier that I think 22 we can hand bottle most of this. 23 The crushes I need are tiny 24 compared to larger organizations and I'm

thinking we crush maybe four times a year,

25

and you can buzz through a ton or two of it fairly quickly, and we'll close the doors.

I do have a pesticide concern. I just want to raise it, if you don't mind.

MR. BELL: Sure.

MR. HALPERN: I worry about a few things with pesticide. I worry about what's being sprayed around me. We had a huge Roundup burn. We don't use Roundup, but very clearly must have been a landscaper trying to fix a problem, burned a big chunk of my field. That worries me.

Lawn fertilizers worry me, and not so much fertilizers because I'm so deplete of nitrogen, but I do worry about weed killers. The stuff that I don't use and would never use around grapes. Other people do, some of them spray in. We try to be careful and notice if we're having a problem.

Also, it's unregulated the material that's being applied around us, and you don't need a license for it. Also, I have a bit of a concern, and this is just a caution that the state sprays, the county

sprays pretty extensively. They spray the end of my field when they use a helicopter, I don't get a vote in that, and I'm comfortable that they know what they're doing for things like mosquitoes, but I'm telling you, this is just an opinion.

I apologize for doing it
here. Spotted lanternfly is coming. We had
a huge infestation that we'd never seen
before at the other farm. In order to cure
that, the state and the county have already,
and the local authorities have already said
they're going to use chemicals to do that so
that's coming.

MR. BELL: Anything else about this application you think is important for the board to know?

MR. HALPERN: Well, in general, our plan is not to disrupt. We're careful with how we do it. We're careful on timing. We log everything. I think we get accused of following the rules all the -- the time. Not a bad thing.

I think this is about as minimal impact as we can do and we've

1	actually moved this farm away from the
2	borders when it was a tree farm. I got tons
3	of pictures. It grew right up to the
4	neighbor's property line. By the way, tree
5	farms use chemicals, too.
6	MR. BELL: Anybody, members
7	of board. Any questions for Mr. Halpern?
8	MR. NATALI: This is going to
9	be a manual bottling line. I take 600 cases
10	is incredibly small, so you plan to use a
11	manual bottling line?
12	MR. HALPERN: I think to
13	begin with. We will and I'm hopeful that by
14	the time, if we ever need to do larger, I'm
15	beginning to see third-party bottlers that
16	will, you either go to them or they come on
17	site. I think there's lots of ways to
18	expand without spending a hundred thousand
19	for a bottling line.
20	MR. BELL: Any other
21	questions?
22	MR. HALPERN: Thank you.
23	MR. BELL: Members of the
24	board, again, just to summarize the scope of
25	our application here, I think we've

addressed a lot of the concerns that were raised in terms of eliminating the tasting room, eliminating the request for approval for on-farm direct marketing activities, eliminating the request for special occasion events, eliminating the request for members of the public to be able to come on the farm and park and engagement activities.

This is a strictly, at this point, agricultural operation where we're going to only add taking the grapes we're already growing and making those grapes into wine onsite instead of selling them to another winery to make into their own wine. This is a fully preserved farm, or that you've already determined to be a commercial farm that has been farmed for 50 or 60 years now.

What my clients are here to seek is the protection of an SSA AMP so that they can continue to farm this land as it was intended at the time that it was preserved by Cape May County with, and this is the last point I wanted to add and this is an exhibit that we included with our most

with the approval and encouragement of Upper Township, Exhibit W with our submission is the Cape May or is the Upper Township resolution supporting and finding that it was in the best interest of the township to approve the application of purchase of the development easement for five point -- what they called 5.22 acres on this property to ensure that it would continue in agricultural use.

That's what this plan will allow my clients to do, while still what we believe addressing all of the concerns that were previously raised about impacts on the neighborhood. We believe the SS AMP is important so that they have that protection so they can continue to do what they're doing and we'd ask you respectfully. too.

MR HALPERN: Sorry, I'm just following your list. Let's talk about the threats, please. The SS AMP, you want me to?

MR. BELL: Yeah, go ahead.
Mr. Halpern will tell you, I think briefly

about some of the issues that have occurred and why we feel the grant of an SS AMP is important for his protection of the farm.

MR HALPERN: Thank you, and I think I'm probably the better one to do this unless you want to bring Robin up. We have experienced a level of harassment that goes beyond the DEP. I have a half a dozen state police reports.

We've read in the newspaper that the neighbor's intent is for, well, the individual was quoted, was to have us move out of the neighborhood. I've received death threats that we've documented. I've gotten phone calls and texts.

Not so long ago, my wife was harassed in the neighborhood by someone who asked her to not walk there and suggested that since she was a criminal, perhaps she should leave the neighborhood.

This has been really tough and right now the only protection I have is I go back to the state police each time.

That's getting to be tiring. I'd like very much to have an avenue through an approved

SS AMP.

Thank you. Sorry.

MR. BELL: That's okay. Then the last point I wanted to make is, and I think you'll hear about this, and we talked about it last time under the <u>Van Hollander</u> decision, which is in a legal case that says, you're not bound by the municipal regulations if you approve an SS AMP, but it's something that you should consider.

That's why if Mr. Orlando's testimony told you that we wouldn't need a bulk variance, that we have a greater buffer than is required by the municipal zoning ordinance that the street we're using for access is not a street that restricts commercial traffic.

We've done our absolute best here to comply with and address each of those concerns which are something you can consider, but you're not bound by, and I think the approval of this application would be in the spirit of both those regulations and the purposes of the SS AMP to preserve the agricultural use. Thank you.

MR. BATISTINI: Any other questions from the board? Nope. All right. At this time, we're going to open it up to the public. I know we have some attorneys here first and I'm going to take them into the attorneys in this order if it's okay.

Mr. Corrado, who I understand represents the Township of Upper, and then Mr. King who represent several of the neighbors.

Thereafter, each of you who are not represented by counsel, you're more than welcome to come up and also make your own public comments. I ask that during public comment, we keep this civil, we try not to point fingers and call people's names.

Just put on the record what your concerns are so that the board here who has lots of experience in all different agricultural aspects, but they'll listen to you and they will chime in accordingly if they so desire.

At this time, Mr. Corrado, if you'd like to come up if that's okay with

you.

MR. CORRADO: Thank you, Mr.

Batistini, members of the board. My name is

Frank Corrado. I represent Upper Township

in this matter. Let me make a few brief

opening remarks, and then I'm going to ask

Tiffany Morrissey, who is the Upper Township

planner to testify about this application.

Let me start with what the township agrees with Mr. Halpern about. First of all, we agree that this is a proper right-to-farm application and that it is properly before this board and not the Upper Township land use board.

We also agree that the proposed activity, which is you've heard from Mr. Bell and Mr. Orlando, and Mr. Halpern is limited now to growing grapes and manufacturing wine is a generally acceptable agricultural process under the right to farm act that is suitable for an SS AMP application.

We agree with Mr. Bell and Mr. Orlando that despite the fact that this is not a matter for the local land use

board, this board should take into account local land use standards when it evaluates whether to approve this SS AMP application We disagree to some extent if there were no Right to Farm Act and this had to come before the Upper Township Land Use Board.

We believe that some variances would be required. For example, if you look at Mr. Orlando's chart here, it says, for example, that minimum lot frontage in the center residential zone is 140 feet, and existing is 30.65 feet, which is much less than 140 feet and therefore, they would need a variance.

Similarly, they would need a lot width and a lot depth variance.

Additionally, Mr. Orlando
has, I think, properly in this case, but
yeah, it's a judgment call, he's applied the
zoning chart for the zoning information for
the Center Residential District. If this
were in an agricultural district, there'd be
other variances, for example, a 40-foot
setback would be a necessary set of a
10-foot setback for the buffers.

In general, the point of 1 pointing out that variances might be 2 necessary when you have to go for a variance 3 before a local land use board, and some of 4 you probably know, you must demonstrate that 5 there is no substantial detrimental effect 6 on the surrounding community, the 7 surrounding neighborhood. 8 We think Upper Township 9 thinks that's the key to this whole 10 application. This application should be 11 approved in a way that protects the 12 surrounding residential neighborhood. 13 I'm going to ask Ms. Coviello 14 15 to come up and testify, Ms. Morrissey, 16 excuse me, to come up and testify about some 17 things that Upper Township thinks can be made conditions of this application that 18 19 would protect the surrounding neighborhood. 20 So, Tiffany, do you want to 21 come up? You want to swear her in, Mr. 22 Batistini? 23 MR. BATISTINI: Yes. Ms. 24 Morrissey, is it? 25 MS. MORRISSEY: Yes, it's

Morrissey. 1 (Ms. Morrissey sworn) 2 MR. BATISTINI: Can you tell 3 us your name, your address, the position 4 that you hold with whatever company and have 5 you appeared before this board before? 6 MS. MORRISSEY: Not this 7 board, no. 8 MR. BATISTINI: Mr. Corrado, 9 if you have a chance just --10 MR. CORRADO: I will, I'll 11 qualify her. 12 MR. BATISTINI: Thank you. 13 MS. MORRISSEY: My name is 14 15 Tiffany Morrissey, and that's spelled M-o-r-16 r-i-s-s-e-y. I have my own consulting firm, 17 which is Tiffany A. Coviello, PPLLC. I got remarried, never fixed it. I am the owner 18 19 and sole practitioner. 20 I am a licensed professional 21 planner in the city of New Jersey, as well 22 as a member of the American Institute of 23 Certified Planners with a Master's of City 24 Planning from the University of Pennsylvania 25 and my address is Seven Equestrian Drive in

Galloway, New Jersey. 1 MR. CORRADO: Do you serve in 2 professional capacities for other boards, 3 land use board, or other boards throughout 4 South Jersey, Ms. Morrissey? 5 MS. MORRISSEY: Yes, I am the 6 consultant for Upper Township as their 7 planning consultant, as well as other 8 municipalities including Buena Vista 9 Township, Morris River Township, the 10 Borough, Woodbury Heights, Upper Freehold 11 Township, Mullica Township, and several 12 others throughout New Jersey. 13 MR. CORRADO: How long have 14 15 you been doing that? 16 MS. MORRISSEY: For over 20 17 15 years. 18 MR. CORRADO: In your 19 professional capacity, have you appeared in 20 front of land use boards where you aren't 21 serving as their consultant to support land 22 use applications and testify as to the 23 planning and zoning aspects of those 24 applications? 25 MS. MORRISSEY: Yes, I have

1	on many, many occasions throughout many
2	municipalities, both south-central and
3	Northern New Jersey.
4	MR. CORRADO: I would ask
5	that the board accept Ms. Morrissey as an
6	expert in land use planning and zoning.
7	MR. BATISTINI: Does the
8	board accept Ms. Morrissey?
9	BOARD: Yes.
10	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you,
11	Mr. Corrade.
12	MR. CORRADO: Ms. Morrissey,
13	do you agree with Mr. Orlando that no
14	variances are required on this application?
15	MS. MORRISSEY: No.
16	MR. CORRADO: Let me rephrase
17	that question. If there were no Right to
18	Farm Act and this application had to go
19	before the Upper Township land use board, do
20	you agree with Mr. Orlando's analysis that
21	no variances would be needed?
22	MS. MORRISSEY: No, I do not.
23	MR. CORRADO: Would you
24	explain to the board why, please?
25	MS. MORRISSEY: Yes. So this

property is in the Township's R rural residential zone. The zoning district was amended in 2006, 2007 and at that time, agricultural use was not any longer a permitted use in this zoning district. The proposed agricultural use became non-conforming and any change to that agricultural use would be a use variance for a non-conforming use.

MR. CORRADO: With respect to area and bulk variances, do you think that there would be certain variances necessary

MS. MORRISSEY: Based on the plan as submitted, the lot itself does not meet the requirements of the R zone in terms of the setback, let me make sure I get it right, the lot frontage, which 140 feet is required and they have 30 feet, the lot width, 140 feet is required, and they have 30.65 feet and lot depth is 175 feet, where there's 136 feet noted on the plans. They would not meet those requirements as to the bulk requirements of lot size and dimensions in the R zone.

MR. CORRADO: If this were in

if the agricultural standards, as opposed to the residential standards, were applied to this application because it is an agricultural use if you testified, would additional variances be necessary?

MS. MORRISSEY: Yes, in terms of the ag zone. When you have an application that submits for a use variance for a use that's not permitted, the underlying zoning doesn't necessarily apply. You want to look at the site and make sure it functions and is appropriate and sometimes it's appropriate to look at other zones where that use is permitted.

In Upper Township, there is an agricultural zone where agricultural uses are permitted. Additional variances that would be noted would be they require a front yard setback of 80 feet, aside and rear yard setback of 50 feet, or excuse me, a side yard setback of 50 feet and a rear yard setback of a hundred feet.

Additionally, the agricultural zone requires a buffer of 40 feet. This property wouldn't meet those

standards and in evaluating an application for use variance, if this were before the zoning board, in terms of the negative criteria, some of the things that would be looked at is what would be required elsewhere.

In terms of the 10-foot buffer elsewhere, we would require a 40-foot buffer for an agricultural use and that's somewhat consistent with your SS AMP standards in that you want to look at what the impacts are in the surrounding area.

One of the other factors that would be evaluated is in the R zone, the residential lot size requirement is 40,000 square feet. The residential uses surrounding this property are undersized at 10,000 square feet so where you have larger lots, you have greater setbacks between structures and the other properties here, these lots are smaller, so you have more density in a tighter area.

For that reason, buffering becomes important, but the buffering also has to allow for reasonable use of the

property as well. 1 MR. CORRADO: To summarize 2 all this, for any variance in New Jersey the 3 applicant must show that there is no 4 significant detrimental effect on the 5 surrounding neighborhood or the surrounding 6 Is that correct? 7 area. MS. MORRISSEY: Correct. 8 MR. CORRADO: All of the 9 points that you're making here go to the 10 issue of whether there is an impact, a 11 substantial detrimental impact on the 12 surrounding area, correct? 13 MS. MORRISSEY: Correct. 14 MR. CORRADO: What we are 15 16 asking the board here to do today is 17 consider the impact on the surrounding area when it evaluates the SS AMP, correct? 18 MS. MORRISSEY: Correct. 19 20 MR. CORRADO: All right. 21 Now, you've reviewed the plans that have 22 been submitted by Mr. Halpern, correct? 23 MS. MORRISSEY: Yes, I have. 24 MR. CORRADO: You have 25 listened to the testimony here today,

correct? 1 MS. MORRISSEY: Yes, I have. 2 MR. CORRADO: Now, do you 3 have, in your professional opinion, do you 4 have any suggestions or if this board were 5 to approve an SS AMP, what conditions do you 6 think would be appropriate to attach to that 7 approval to ensure that the surrounding 8 neighborhood is protected? 9 MS. MORRISSEY: There are two 10 items that come to mind. The plan does 11 provide for outdoor storage, and that 12 outdoor storage has a limitation shown on it 13 and we'll go back to that sheet. Sorry. 14 15 MR. ORLANDO: I know booby 16 traps (inaudible 00:59:02). 17 MS. MORRISSEY: You did. 18 Good job. Try that again. The outdoor stairs is shown on the northeastern side of 19 20 the pole barn, and there is the limit of 21 areas. There's also a six-foot fence 22 proposed along that property line. 23 Now, this goes towards the 24 residential on Bayaire. The condition that 25 I think would be appropriate is to ensure

that that is the limit of outdoor storage, that that outdoor storage area does not expand.

If there is a need in the future for additional outdoor storage, that outdoor storage should be more central to the site so it doesn't fit closer to any residential properties to ensure that there's protection of those residential properties, if in the future they need more outdoor storage for any other equipment.

MR. CORRADO: Anything else?

MS. MORRISSEY: The other

condition that we think is appropriate, they

do provide a six-foot fence, you can see

along the northern property line and it was

described by the engineer to go along Route

42, 43, 44, and 45.

We believe that it would be appropriate to extend the fence to the end of the property and then again, on the southern property line so that all residential properties are protected.

We recognize that there might be some existing vegetation on the southern

property lines; that existing vegetation isn't necessarily on the farm property. We think it's appropriate to have that fencing all the way around, not necessarily along the rear property line, because I believe that doesn't impact anyone at all. Then if a property owner doesn't want the fence, because they like to look at the vineyards, then they can say they don't want the fence and that can be noted on the plan that the fence is required for those lots that would like that fencing.

MR. CORRADO: There was some discussion during the direct testimony of the applicant about dimensioning the site plan and to make sure that it conforms that the distances shown here are made manifest, if you want to comment on that, please.

MS. MORRISSEY: Yes, and so the other aspect is that we think the plan should have the clear dimensions of the location of the fields as they are currently and you heard that in the testimony of Mr. Orlando, the plans might show 30 feet, but they're a little bit closer on the northern

property line. 1 We just want to make sure 2 that what's there and what's staying is 3 clearly depicted on the plan so that if 4 years down the line there's a question, 5 there's no issue as to where the fields are 6 currently. 7 MR. CORRADO: You've reviewed 8 the landscaping plan here? 9 MS. MORRISSEY: Yes, I have. 10 MR. CORRADO: Are you 11 satisfied with that in terms of its impact 12 or its mitigating effect upon the 13 surrounding neighborhood? 14 MS. MORRISSEY: 15 The 16 landscaping that's proposed is much less 17 than would be required under the Upper Township ordinance, but we believe, given 18 19 the size of this property and the need to 20 ensure that the vineyards are actually 21 appropriate and function, that what's 22 provided for the landscaping in the area 23 they provided is sufficient on this site. 24 MR. CORRADO: Provided that 25 the conditions that you've talked about are

made conditions of this approval, are you okay with the proposed buffers that this application proposes?

MS. MORRISSEY: Yes, we recognize that while the R zone is 10 feet and the agricultural zone requires 40 feet that compromise of the 30 feet, I believe they said on the southern property line and that 23 to 26 feet on the northern property line is appropriate given the shape and size of this lot to allow functionality.

There is a smaller area up here by Lots 30, 28, 35, and 36, that'll be less than, I think it's around 15 feet, but that those buffers are appropriate given the existing conditions of the property and the size and shape of the property.

MR. CORRADO: Finally, you heard the testimony of Mr. Orlando and Mr. Halpern about the traffic and noise that would accompany this SS AMP if approved, assuming that that testimony is accurate and correct. Do you agree or do you believe that that would not pose a problem for the surrounding neighborhood?

1	MS. MORRISSEY: In my
2	professional opinion, the traffic that they
3	have described with his pickup truck, his
4	SUV, the trailer attached is not much
5	different than you would normally see in a
6	residential neighborhood.
7	Whether you have landscapers
8	coming to your property, FedEx, or UPS
9	deliveries, we all get numerous Amazon
10	deliveries in box trucks. At that scale and
11	that frequency, I don't think it creates a
12	substantial impact.
13	MR. CORRADO: Thank you, Ms.
14	Morrissey. Any questions from the board
15	about Ms. Morrissey's statement? Mr.
16	Natali, I'm sorry.
17	MR. NATALI: I don't know if
18	you're familiar with the master plan in
19	Upper Township, but I did notice that
20	MR. CORRADO: She wrote the
21	Upper Township
22	MR. NATALI: Oh, okay.
23	MS. MORRISSEY: In part. It
24	depends on which version.
25	MR. NATALI: I noticed that

when New Jersey passed the Right to Farm
laws, there was one in '83, and then another
one in '98, the large municipalities of Cape
May, so Lower Township, Middle Township,
Dennis Township, they all passed right to
Farm Act that strengthened the State Act,
but Upper Township never did that.

Is there some reason for that?

MS. MORRISSEY: That I can't answer. That's a governing body question as to why they did or didn't pass that. The recent master plan reports that I've worked on, didn't address that. 2006, 2007, they did remove agricultural from this area, but they still have an agricultural zone and they're also subject to pinelands in part, which would also have some of their own agricultural protections.

MR. CORRADO: Of course, as

I'm sure you know, the Right to Farm Act has
been held by the State Supreme Court to

preempt local zoning in form.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  NATALI: Some of them went a little bit --

MS. MORRISSEY: Took that 1 extra step further. 2 MR. NATALI: Yeah. 3 MR. CORRADO: Any other board 4 members have any questions? 5 MR. BATISTINI: I just have 6 some clarifications, if that's okay. 7 MR. CORRADO: Yeah, go ahead. 8 MR. BATISTINI: In regard to 9 the outside storage, what are you looking 10 for the applicant to do? It just proposed 11 2.5, well, 12.5 feet by 50 feet, what is it 12 that you're looking for the applicant to do? 13 Just agree that that's as big as it's going 14 to be to outline it? 15 MS. MORRISSEY: There's two 16 17 sheets here, and the first sheet is sheet 18 304, and that's the entire site and it has 19 this dotted line that says basically it's 20 the limit of -- of disturbance area from where the outdoor storage would be proposed. 21 22 Then on the second sheet, sheet 404, it 23 doesn't have that same dimension. 24 We just want to make sure 25 that it's clearly labeled the size of that

after storage area so that if it does grow, 1 it doesn't get closer to the residential, 2 but if they need more equipment for some 3 other items in the future, that it really 4 focus internal to the site. 5 This plans sheet 404 as to 6 show those lines that you see on 304, and 7 they're not to mention, so we just wanted to 8 mention that on the (inaudible 01:06:25) 9 carries. 10 MR. BATISTINI: Mr. Bell, do 11 you have any issue with that request? 12 MR. ORLANDO: No, I think 13 we'll clearly never on the plan, but just so 14 the record is clear, our proposal is to 15 16 provide 17 outside storage to the north of the metal building in that area, immediate area. 18 19 MS. MORRISSEY: Yeah, but 20 there's a step back to the property line with that dotted line, and we want that to 21 22 just be noted. 23 MR. ORLANDO: That limited 24 disturbance is what that for the septic. 25 That area is currently used for storage to

the property line, but we're not making any 1 changes there. 2 MR. BATISTINI: The storage 3 area that you're proposing, Vince, Mr. 4 Orlando, how far is that going to be from 5 the property line to the north? 6 MR. ORLANDO: It'll be the 7 fence line. The fence line is approximately 8 a foot and a half onto our property so 9 storage is probably to, the property line is 10 27.6 feet, so that'll be about 26 feet by 11 the length of the building, which is 65 12 (inaudible 01:07:49) 73. 13 MR. BATISTINI: The proposed 14 set, and maybe I'm just reading it wrong, it 15 16 says 12 and a half feet by 50. Is that still what you're looking for? 17 18 MS. MORRISSEY: That was the 19 prior iteration of the plan that has 20 something like that listed on. 21 MR. BELL: That was a prior. 22 MS. MORRISSEY: Because you 23 were fencing that in and now you put the 24 fence on the property line. 25 MR. BATISTINI: I'm just

1	looking at sheet 204. This is existing
2	conditions. Is Upper Township requesting
3	that there'd be a buffer at all between the
4	proposed outside storage and the property
5	line?
6	MS. MORRISSEY: We were just
7	requesting that they not get any closer to
8	the residential property line, then
9	MR. CORRADO: Mention this
10	and that it is not moved.
11	MS. MORRISSEY: You're right,
12	it does say 12 feet by 50 on existing
13	conditions.
14	MR. ORLANDO: Before that,
15	that's what existed.
16	MS. MORRISSEY: I guess maybe
17	just to clarify, so you are actually right
18	off the property line now.
19	MR. ORLANDO: Off the
20	property line, yeah.
21	MS. MORRISSEY: Can I ask,
22	what's stored in that area? What outdoor
23	storage?
24	MR. ORLANDO: Yeah, mostly
25	equipment, bins, stuff.

1	MS. MORRISSEY: Nothing that
2	would be taller than a six-foot fence?
3	MR. HALPERN: No.
4	MS. MORRISSEY: Then the
5	fence can act as an adequate buffer and as
6	it's not something that's going to impact
7	the residential.
8	MR. CORRADO: That fence is
9	made of wood, but it's a solid material,
10	correct, Mr. Orlando?
11	MR. BELL: It's a solid
12	fence, right?
13	MS. MORRISSEY: I'm right
14	about there.
15	MR. ORLANDO: We'll depict it
16	on the plan and we'll indicate on the plan
17	that there will be no material stored there
18	greater than, than six feet.
19	MS. MORRISSEY: Perfect.
20	MR. CORRADO: Good, and the
21	fence is a solid fence, correct?
22	MR. ORLANDO: It's a solid
23	fence.
24	MR. SCHUMAN: Are you getting
25	ahead of yourself? We'd have to say that's

1	if we could hypothetically pass it
2	without any of that. Am I correct?
3	MR. CORRADO: We're asking
4	for those conditions.
5	MR. SCHUMAN: You are asking
6	for it?
7	MR. CORRADO: The Township of
8	Upper.
9	MR. SCHUMAN: I know what
10	you're asking for. Always asking for the
11	world. But what I'm saying is we don't have
12	to go that route.
13	MR. BATISTINI: Here's what
14	I'm trying to accomplish by asking these
15	questions. If the applicant is okay with
16	what is being requested by Upper Township, I
17	think that might be a better route for this
18	board.
19	Instead of just saying, let
20	there be some divisiveness between the
21	parties. It may be as I go down and ask the
22	next question that the applicant says, no,
23	I'm not doing that. I'm just trying to weed
24	through what we can all agree upon.
25	MR. SCHUMAN: Yeah, well, I'm

just trying to figure out, because I think
he's gave up more than enough as it is.

MR. BATISTINI: Let's also be clear that there are other people, potentially may have the same comments and if we can remove those comments to reduce the possibility of more appealable items, we should probably try to do that. That's what I'm doing.

This is what will typically happen in any type of land use or other type of hearing. You try to let the neighbors work it out and to the extent they can, great and if they can't, then that'll be your decision. If they're going to work it out, let them work it out.

MATT: We're just asking them to dimension something that you could scale anyway?

MR. BATISTINI: That's all we're asking, for the fence. We're going to get to the buffers in a second and just see if the applicant is willing to do it. If the applicant is willing to do it, great, then that's not something you have to

discuss or do.

If the applicant says I'm not doing it, then it comes back to you and then you guys ultimately decide what you want to do or just whittling away some of the rift between the neighbors and the municipality.

MS. MORRISSEY: The role here is because we want to make sure that the plan specific, one of the main points of some of the comments is to make sure the plan is very clear so that if you do approve it and everything is resolved here today in a couple of years or a couple months, someone has a question, we have something to look at that gives clear answer so they don't have to come back for interpretation.

That's the bulk of our comments from the township. In addition to making sure that the homeowners that live here on these smaller lots have some level of protection and that's why we also added that if they don't want a fence, we like to see it fenced, but if they don't want it, make sure before we finalize the plans that it says.

Lot 47 didn't want a fence, so there's no fence here so we don't come back and someone buys Lot 47 and says there should be a fence there. We just want to give the residents the opportunity to have that protection.

MR. CORRADO: We understand that to summarize the Township's position, we certainly believe this new proposal is an improvement over the previous proposal, but we also want to make sure that to the extent, it is a farm, it's been a farm as Mr. Bell said for 70 years but it's located in the middle of a residential district.

The township wants to make sure to the extent possible, that the effect of this new proposal on the surrounding neighborhood is minimal. That's where the township is coming from.

MR. BELL: On that one, we can't agree that with dimension is the storage area and not store anything higher than six feet in that dimension.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BATISTINI: There's another dimensional where they're just

acting you to identify on the plans the 1 dimensions of the existing buffers. 2 assuming that's not a problem. 3 MR. BELL: That's not a 4 problem. 5 MR. BATISTINI: That leaves 6 the last request and that is fencing. I 7 don't think I heard fencing plus ornamental. 8 I heard just fencing with respect to the 9 north and the south. Any comment by the 10 applicant, what they're willing or not 11 willing to do? 12 MR. BELL: That's something 13 we can agree to, we're going to ask for 14 approval of the buffers on our plan with the 15 16 fence and ornamental trees that we depicted. 17 MR. ORLANDO: To understand 18 our position, the first three lots where 19 we're putting fence, we agree. The next lot 20 has a fence, and the fifth lot has a berm with elevated vineyards, so they're 21 22 protected. On the south side, it's wooded. 23 Personally, I don't think we 24 should come in and take woods down to put up 25 a fence. There's woods on our property.

1	It's been buffered like that for forever and
2	I think by Mr. Halpern and putting in the
3	three properties, 500-foot fence, 40-foot
4	fence would be adequate.
5	MR. BATISTINI: Are those
6	fences going to be solid? What's the make?
7	MR. ORLANDO: Solid wood
8	fence.
9	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you.
10	MR. CORRADO: That concludes
11	your application
12	MR. BATISTINI: That answers
13	my questions in terms of
14	MR. CORRADO: Well, you all
15	have heard the township's request and as
16	you've said, you get the big bucks. That's
17	why you get the big bucks. You have to make
18	the call. Thank you very much.
19	MR. BATISTINI: At this time
20	I'd like to ask Mr. King to come up if
21	that's okay with you.
22	MR. KING: Good morning,
23	everyone. My name is Richard King with the
24	law firm of King Barnes. I represent
25	several of the neighbors who still have some

1∥ concerns.

I do agree certainly that this application is very different than the last one and it is an improvement. There's no doubt about that.

There still remains some concerns and some requests that we have and in some ways, we do differ with the township.

Although we're grateful for the things the town has done, this is supposed to go before a planning or zoning board of the municipality. It's not because of the Farm Act so that no one individual can really speak for that board. That while we respect Morrissey and Mr. Corrado and thank them, there's some areas where we disagree.

First, I'd like to address
the issue that you have all seemingly
decided which is the fact that this is a
commercial farm and although we understand
that there was a decision in May of 2022
that this is a commercial farm, I would like
to revisit a fact that has come up after

that hearing that relates to that issue if I could because we do want a complete record on this when the time comes.

MR. BATISTINI: Put your objection --

MR. BELL: The commercial farm and the eighth grade is old and decided we went up on appeal. It came back down.

We had another vote. I respectfully submitted that that issue is, has been decided again, just for the SS AMP. We have a right to appeal after this is done. I asked the board certainly for the sake of time to -- let's stick to what the issue before the board is today.

MR. KING: I note the objection and -- but there is things that have happened since the last determination. There was no resolution passed. It is part of the same application. The appeal didn't deny it. The appeal said we're not going to deal with it until there's a resolution.

Although I don't expect to revisit all of those issues since the last hearing, there has been a specific

determination by the County Tax Board that this does not meet the eligibility requirements for farm assessment.

That decision was mailed to the applicant on June 20th, 2022 after our last meeting. Also long after the March determination that was made by the board. This is probably the third time they've been denied their tax eligibility.

I want to present to the board so we have a complete record, the definition of commercial farm that says that they have to satisfy the eligibility criteria for differential property taxation pursuant to the farmland assessment and the judgment that was issued by the county, that they don't meet that standard. That matter is presently pending before the Superior Court.

I don't understand the tax court, I think that is a division of superior court, but I stand corrected if it's not. They have not yet made a determination on their appeal so it would seem imprudent to move forward as if this

were a commercial farm when that matter is pending in the Superior Court.

I'd like to make those two documents part of the record.

MR. BATISTINI: The board is going to totally make this decision as to whether or not you want to reopen the issue of the commercial farm. You've heard Mr. King say that there is other evidence that he has provided or wants to provide to this court, or I should say to this board.

This board has on two occasions already said that they would not be reopening the commercial farm. This board has also advised on those two occasions that the record is preserved and if Mr. King wants to go ahead and utilize those documents or any additional documents he can do so upon the appeal.

What I'll ask this board is this board looking to reopen the commercial farm aspect, whether or not this property is defined to be the commercial farm.

Now, I will say this on the record again, that Mr. King has an ability

to appeal this decision. He also has the decision being whether it's a commercial farm and he also has the ability to appeal the SS AMPs that are being sought by the applicants.

My suggestion to you would be one appeal, meaning that let's just continue to move forward. Mr. King's ability to appeal is not in any way hampered because we have not issued a final resolution. When we do issue a final resolution, Mr. King can come in and still make those presentations to the state, what I'll call the state agricultural board because that's where an appeal would go first.

Polling from the board, is this something that you want to reopen or not?

BOARD: No.

MR. KING: Mr. Batistini,
just out of prudence can I at least make of
record the two documents that I wanted to?
I have it on here, I don't even have it in
the record. I'm not sure when I would be
able to do that.

MR. BELL: Well, it turned the no in from the ACD it's going to get assigned to administrative law judge's going to (inaudible 01:22:00). I don't mind marking it for identification.

MR. BATISTINI: That's fine with me. Mark it for identification.

MR. KING: The first document that I'm marking for identification is a memorandum of judgment which found that the farmland eligibility criteria were not met by the applicant.

MR. BELL: What's your date on that, Mr. King?

MR. KING: That is a mailed June 20th, 2022. The other document is really not even -- I don't even have to put in, but it was copies of the definition of commercial farm that said commercial farm means satisfying the eligibility criteria for differential property taxation pursuant to the Farmland Assessment Act. That's in ordinance, so that doesn't even need to be entered as an exhibit. Let's take notice of that.

1	MR. BATISTINI: We'll mark
2	that as where would you like me to mark
3	it, Mr. King?
4	MR. KING: A general marking.
5	MR. BATISTINI: We'll do
6	watch one. What do you want me
7	MR. KING: O-1.
8	MR. BATISTINI: O-1, that's
9	fine. All right. We're going to mark O-1 as
10	the Cape May County Tax Board appeal in
11	regard to a memorandum of judgment.
12	MR. KING: It's also a copy
13	of the appeal and the answer to the appeal.
14	Let's put that I'm sorry, Mr. Bell, you
15	should get a copy, I'm sorry.
16	MR. BELL: Thank you, Mr.
17	King.
18	MR. KING: Okay, thank you.
19	MR. BATISTINI: We're going
20	to move forward to the current
21	MR. KING: I have two copies
22	for the attachments, Mr. Corrade.
23	MR. BATISTINI: Mr. King, you
24	may proceed.
25	MR. KING: Thank you. One of

the challenges to dealing with this today is that two of the most important aspects of the plan that needed to be considered in our preparation and analysis is traffic circulation and the buffers but the testimony you've heard today is that the plan doesn't contain the actual measurements of the buffers.

We're hearing that now and correcting that, putting the measurements in later, I guess would be appropriate but that's supposed to be in there so that we can look at the measurements of the buffers.

not have that information. I think it would be prudent to have that information before the board in advance of the meetings so that we can review it and address it in our presentation to have them put on after this approval is sort of an after-the-fact thing, particularly since they're so critical because buffers and setbacks are very different things.

I think they're a little conflated in the applicant's presentation,

but buffers and setbacks are very different.

Also, in terms of traffic circulation, there really isn't a traffic circulation plan and I would actually, I'd like to ask Mr.

Orlando a couple of questions about that Bayaire Avenue location because as you recall when we were here before entering through Bayaire was a major concern of the applicants.

When we were here before, it was going to be connected to Route 9 if you recall.

Now they've taken that away from Route 9 and placed it onto Bayaire, which will direct all of the traffic to the residential neighborhood, which is a big deal.

As I sit here now, I cannot tell from the plan where that traffic is actually driving off of Bayaire into the facility. There's no real road indicated.

I'd like to go have Mr. Orlando address that particular section of his plan so that we can understand where these vehicles are actually going.

Again, I reiterate that the traffic and roadway circulation, which is a prime consideration of any site plan because they're not going to the municipal board, you are the site plan analysis because it's not getting the typical reviewer would get before the engineers and members of the public and the board attorney that would go on in a site plan analysis before the township.

As the site plan reviewers, you're reviewing a site plan that has no buffer numbers in it, and in my opinion which is not as a professional planner, but just my opinion as an attorney, there really isn't a traffic circulation plan here. If Mr. Orlando could address that area near Bayaire and exactly where the road is.

MR. ORLANDO: I'll address both of your comments. Mr. King. First, the plan is drawn to scale and the thing, what the township asked for and I agreed to, is to show the dimensions to the scale drawing for the buffer.

It's 22.8, 25.6, and 30 foot,

and the dimensions here, so the plan is drawn to scale. Anybody could scale that dimension. I think the town wants those 20 dimensions on the plan, which we've agreed to.

The second issue is the location of the driveway. If you look at the site plan, you'll see that the driveway is shown it's right where the indication where it is today, the driveway is not changing, it's utilized for the residential use. There is no changes to the surface. It's located on the site plan and the survey, which is the vacated portion of First Avenue --

MR. KING: Mr. Orlando, can I interrupt you just a little more detail on that? Where are you saying the driveway is like on which part of it? I see something that says edge of pavement that runs down the middle of the shaded portion that is on lot eight so the edge of pavement, obviously that's not the roadway or the driveway.

MR. ORLANDO: The driveway is in that existing location so it's clear the

1	driveway that everybody sees today is the
2	same driveway. It's not changing, it's
3	shown on the edge of pavement of our
4	property. It falls within that shaded area
5	for the roadway.
6	MR. KING: How wide is it?
7	How wide is the roadway you're proposing out
8	of a residential neighborhood into this
9	commercial facility? It would be typical to
10	have the width of a roadway into a
11	commercial facility on the site plan or a
12	commercial facility in advance of the
13	hearing.
14	MR. ORLANDO: The roadway is
15	shown on the survey, it shows on the
16	MR. KING: What exhibit is
17	that?
18	MR. ORLANDO: It shows
19	shifted area, it shows that on our property,
20	so the roadway's probably about 20 foot wide
21	going into the facility
22	MR. KING: Hold on. Oh, wait,
23	can we just not blow by that, it's 20 foot
24	wide.
25	MR. ORLANDO: It's about 20

1	foot wide.
2	MR. KING: On which property
3	is that 20 feet?
4	MR. ORLANDO: It's on our
5	property. It's within that current
6	easement.
7	MR. BATISTINI: Could you
8	tell me what page you're looking at?
9	MR. ORLANDO: Look at page
10	two.
11	MR. KING: What does it mean
12	where it says edge of pavement?
13	MR. ORLANDO: That's the edge
14	of pavement onto our property. The other
15	edge is roughly on the vacated portion of
16	First Avenue.
17	MR. KING: The other part of
18	the vacated portion is actually on Lot 43,
19	is it not?
20	MR. ORLANDO: Correct.
21	MR. KING: The access way on
22	off of Bayaire will go through Lot 43 in
23	part?
24	MR. ORLANDO: It's
25	totally on our property. The other side of

1	the driveway is the property line.
2	MR. KING: Your testimony is
3	that where it says edge of pavement, there's
4	20 feet between the edge of pavement and the
5	property line?
6	MR. ORLANDO: No, it's
7	probably more like 16 feet.
8	MR. KING: Your testimony is
9	that there's 16 feet between the edge of
10	pavement at its shortest distance between
11	the edge of the pavement and the property
12	line, your testimony is that that's 16 feet?
13	MR. ORLANDO: About 16 feet.
14	MR. KING: Where the word is
15	under monument, you think that's 16 feet
16	there?
17	MR. ORLANDO: I think it's
18	about 16.
19	MR. KING: Using your scale,
20	can you scale that out the line under the
21	word monument to that point?
22	MR. ORLANDO: I'm looking for
23	monument, but I don't see the word monument.
24	MR. KING: I'm sorry. It
25	said monument previously.

1	MR. ORLANDO: I'm scaling it
2	probably around 12 feet.
3	MR. KING: This commercial
4	facility, do you think that the commercial
5	vehicles that use this, what we're calling a
6	roadway or driveway, your testimony is that
7	they will not utilize any part of Lot 43 to
8	access this facility?
9	MR. ORLANDO: Yes, that's
10	correct.
11	MR. KING: They'll go through
12	this 12 foot area?
13	MR. ORLANDO: That is
14	correct.
15	MR. KING: How are you
16	demarcating that?
17	MR. ORLANDO: I believe that
18	there's already been coned off.
19	MR. KING: You use cones for
20	entrances to this commercial facility.
21	MR. ORLANDO: It's already
22	coned off on the property line.
23	MR. KING: On this site plan,
24	there's actually no path drawn where
25	vehicles, where vehicles will actually enter

1	this roadway is there. I've seen a lot of
2	your site plans. Is this traditionally how
3	you would designate the entranceway of a
4	commercial facility?
5	MR. ORLANDO: This is an
6	existing driveway, Mr. King. It's been
7	utilized for five years. It's the same
8	driveway that's been used to harvest to
9	for this facility, not changing in any way.
10	MR. KING: Well, that pathway
11	though that you're talking about, that
12	existing roadway, I see that on your plan,
13	it stops right as it hits the shaded area,
14	evaporates, doesn't it?
15	MR. ORLANDO: It does.
16	MR. KING: That's because it
17	ultimately crosses into Lot 43, isn't it?
18	MR. ORLANDO: I do not know.
19	MR. KING: You'd agree with
20	me though, that there's no path for the
21	actual access way drawn here?
22	MR. ORLANDO: No, I wouldn't
23	agree with that.
24	MR. KING: Well, where is it?
	11
25	MR. ORLANDO: I would say

that the driveway, it shows on the property 1 in that located area is situated on our 2 property and we're only used a driveway on 3 our property. 4 MR. KING: It's your 5 testimony that your site plan for this 6 commercial use is going to involve cones, 7 directing parties to use one side of a road 8 and not another so that they can enter a 9 12-foot area into this commercial facility 10 with commercial agricultural vehicles. 11 MR. ORLANDO: Well, there's 12 not commercial agricultural vehicles. It's 13 the pickup truck and a trailer, the record 14 is cleared. 15 16 MR. KING: I thought he 17 testified that on certain parts of the year, 18 different machineries brought onto the 19 property. 20 MR. ORLANDO: Through which 21 pickup truck and his trailer --22 MR. KING: Only his pickup 23 truck and trailer? 24 MR. ORLANDO: Yes. 25 MR. KING: Is there a

1	condition that the only vehicles that
2	they'll use for this use is a commercial
3	pickup truck and a small trailer?
4	MR. ORLANDO: Let me talk to
5	the applicant, but that's my understanding
6	of the testimony.
7	MR. KING: What size will the
8	FedEx trucks come to be that do his online
9	sales?
10	MR. ORLANDO: Well, they're
11	not in the online sales.
12	MR. KING: Actually,
13	specifically says in there there's going to
14	be online sales. He's going to have mugs
15	and t-shirts and non-alcoholic wine for
16	online sales. It's in the application.
17	Mr. Halpern, is that in your application?
18	MR. HALPERN: Let me check if
19	it's okay to talk
20	MR. BELL: Yeah.
21	MR. HALPERN: Yeah, that's in
22	our application.
23	MR. KING: That's all. Thank
24	you. I reiterate for the board, and I'm
25	sorry for the torturous process, but this is

the site plan review and it's very atypical to have a site plan review for a commercial facility nestled inside a residential area that doesn't clearly delineate the access way for the commercial vehicles to enter the commercial facility.

To use up the planning board role and place of this board, that's one thing, but to then abandon all the traditional site plan elements on the plan that we're supposed to have in advance is very challenging and inappropriate.

It's also very difficult to believe that all the traffic for this commercial facility is going to stay on the, first of all, I don't think cones are really a fantastic planning mechanism for a long-term approval of a commercial facility, but that they're going to have cones to make sure that this doesn't cross into what is a residential property Lot 43, which is not until this conversation been made a part of this application, it's done. The next item.

MR. BATISTINI: Mr. Bell, if
I may ask you a question would it be an

appropriate condition of approval that entering egress and ingress, let him stay on his property?

MR. HALPERN: Why don't I trust this? Yes, of course.

MR. BATISTINI: You can't go on somebody else's property to get into your property and can't do it anyway and vice versa.

MR. BELL: Can't do it anyway, he will not trespass.

MR. KING: Mr. Batistini, I appreciate that as a conditional solution. Again, that should be before, we should have an opportunity to see the plan that proposes where that traffic's going to go. I'm looking at a Google Earth picture with pickup truck parked on the other side of the road and a path that runs on the left side of that road into the winery. I do need to have, it should be on the plan before we come here so that we know the ingress and egress on a commercial facility not trying to measure millimeters on the width of the access way on the fly. That's

not really appropriate for a commercial 1 facility and this is an approval for a 2 commercial facility. 3 The next item that we would 4 like to address is the buffering. Buffering 5 is different than setback. Setback is how 6 far the use must remain from the side yard, 7 whereas the buffering is the visual and 8 other impact upon the adjacent parcels. 9 The Township of Upper has 10 specific ordinances that address a 11 circumstance when a commercial use abuts a 12 residential use. They have an entire 13 ordinance section for that very issue. 14 I would like to introduce my 15 16 planner to address that and other concerns 17 that we have regarding the landscape buffer 18 presented here. Ms. Barbara Woolley-Dillon, can you state your name, spell it, and 19 20 please go over your credentialing? 21 MR. BATISTINI: Let me swear 22 her in. What was your name? 23 MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: 24 it's Barbara Allen, A-1-1-e-n Woolley, W-o-25 o-l-l-e-y Dillon. Everybody makes the

1	common mistake of calling me Dillon. I am
2	not related to Kevin Dillon nor married to
3	him. It's just a common thing that's
4	happened for a long time.
5	(Ms. Woolley-Dillon sworn)
6	MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: I do.
7	By the way, the spelling on Dillon is D-i-l-
8	l-o-n and my address is 5856 Route 9,
9	Tuckerton, New Jersey. I am a licensed
10	professional planner who has my own firm.
11	It's my same name Barbara Allen
12	Woolley-Dillon, PPA, ICP
13	MR. BATISTINI: Have you
14	appeared before this agricultural board
15	before?
16	MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: I do not
17	believe so.
18	MR. BATISTINI: Can you give
19	us a little bit more, if you wouldn't mind?
20	MR. KING: Did you say your
21	education? I was busy looking in my
22	briefcase.
23	MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: No, I
24	did not.
25	MR. KING: Do that.

MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: I have 1 an undergraduate degree in planning. It's an 2 urban studies with an urban planning option 3 from Rutgers University. I also have my 4 Master's or an MCP, Masters of City Planning 5 from the University of Pennsylvania. 6 MR. KING: How long have you 7 been practicing in the field of planning and 8 zoning? 9 MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: Gosh, 10 I'm almost embarrassed to say probably about 11 25 years now. I've been fully licensed as a 12 professional planner since 1998 with the 13 AICP, or I'm sorry, 1995 with the AICP and 14 1998 with the NJPP. 15 16 MR. KING: Have you addressed 17 farmland issues before? MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: Yes. I 18 19 actually worked for Burlington County Office 20 of Land Use Preservation for their farmland division and believe it or not, I had a bit 21 22 of an unusual situation. My masters was 23 actually farmland preservation. They had 24 two choices of the program. They could have

put me in regional planning or city

1	planning. They chose to put me in city
2	planning, but I had a focus on farmland
3	preservation and agricultural preservation
4	due to the fact that I grew up in
5	Pennsylvania and I actually grew up in a
6	farm as a child. I have this unusual
7	getting into city planning thing.
8	MR. KING: Is there any other
9	information you think you would know Mr.
10	Batistini to qualify Ms. Woolley-Dillon?
11	MR. BATISTINI: Does the board
12	have any questions? Does the board qualify
13	Ms. Woolley-Dillon? Is that a yes?
14	BOARD: Yes. You may
15	continue.
16	MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: Thank
17	you.
18	MR. KING: Can you address
19	some of the concerns you have regarding the
20	application as presented to extend you from
21	the survey?
22	MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: Yes, in
23	looking at the application, I was part and
24	parcel to the original first application
25	that came through. Everybody is correct in

that. This I think does represent a continued improvement, but I do have some concerns. First and foremost is circulation. I think Mr. King was very succinct in pointing out the issues that we have.

This is coming off of a residential street, Bayaire Road. If worse comes to worse, they're going to be coming off of first to that terminus or cul-de-sac.

In looking at this, one of the things in doing plan review for municipalities and having been a municipal planner for several municipalities, if it's not on the paper, it's awfully difficult to enforce. I know that we talked about, and it was discussed that they would provide traffic cones going into the site, traffic cones get knocked over, wind storms happen, rainstorms, snowstorms, they get moved, things get relocated. I just think it's going to be awfully difficult.

The other concern that I'm having is there was talk about FedEx deliveries. I'm not sure if the board is

aware.

There's a difference between a home FedEx delivery and a commercial.

Home FedEx deliveries are in the little truck with the dog on it, the little puppy on it. It's a much smaller vehicle.

For a commercial operations, they're typically in a much larger vehicle because it's the economy of scale and they put the commercial operation on more of a box truck-type setup.

There is a bit of a difference in looking at it and I am not a traffic engineer. However, in looking at the site, I also noticed where they placed the trash receptacle. It's going to be very difficult to access, especially coming off of Bayaire road. There are concerns with that.

I don't believe the applicant talked about the number of employees that were going to be on the site other than himself and his wife. It's unclear to me if they need additional parking spaces and if they need them, where would the employees

park if this is going to be the case.

In just looking at it, the site circulation is a little challenging and planners can look at those issues and I am not entirely comfortable with what is on the plan and has been submitted to this committee. The other issue that I have has to do with landscaping.

Obviously, we want to preserve as much of nature as possible and we want to have happy neighbors. In looking at what the ordinance requires, there is a difference between buffering and landscaping and Ms. Morrissey was kind enough to point out what the requirements are for an agricultural zone, it's 40 feet.

That's a pretty substantial buffer. It's almost twice what they've got and over two and a half times what they're going to propose on the other side of the property. I also looked at the fence they're proposing.

I did see an issue again with which Ms. Morrissey provided that the fencing doesn't go the whole way down the

northern property line, but there's also going to be a part where the neighbor that's on Lot 43 is going to be able to see that off-street parking space, that loading area. It's not shielded. They're going to see that. There's no shielding of that and that's more of a commercial-type operation.

I think you're also going to have a challenge in looking at the neighbors that are located across Bayaire to the east of First Avenue. They're also going to be able to see that off-street loading space. I think it's a bit challenged. They have not addressed that and there is going to be an impact to the surrounding neighborhood.

MR. KING: In Upper Township where this is located, you would agree that this would require a use values, wouldn't you?

## MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON:

Absolutely, for two reasons. Agriculture is not permitted in this zoning district.

There's also another part of the ordinance that calls out for accessory uses that processing of any product grown on the site

is not permitted. It would actually require two use variances at minimum and the expansion of the existing nonconforming use in front of the municipal zoning board.

MR. KING: Would you agree that a use variance is an indication that the use that's present is not considered by the master plan to be compatible with the nearby uses?

MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: Yes, and we recognize that existing nonconforming uses have a right to exist. They have certain values, but when you're introducing new things to them, new nonconforming uses, it requires that super majority of the voting board members or five out of seven affirmatively approve it.

There's a much more onerous burden of proof. You have to talk about site suitability, the surrounding neighborhood, the character of that neighborhood. Was this envisioned, was this anticipated? Has the area changed? Will it be compatible with what's in the surrounding neighborhood? Then you Obviously have to

talk about the zone planning zoning ordinance.

I do understand that the SADC, those rights, and the regulations do supersede the local municipality, but these are the things that the local municipality and the neighbors would be considering if this were in front of the zoning board and in effect, because they're in front of you seeking affirmation of this, you are serving instead.

MR. KING: The fact that there are incompatible uses against one another, adjacent to one another, has Upper Township -- do they have any particular ordinances that address appropriate landscaping between residential and non-residential uses?

MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: Yes,
they do. Landscape buffers are in Section
20.8 of their ordinances and they have a
couple of different kinds. There are
general, there's a nuisance landscape
buffer, there's also a filtered buffer and
then there's a wind break or heavy screening

1∥ buffer.

The one that I think was most commonly referred to is the nuisance buffer and it's the general where you have those incompatible land uses and they give standards such as evergreen trees and this is for every hundred linear feet -- bless you --

MR. KING: Can you say that? I sneezed over your number there.

MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: For every hundred linear feet of the buffer area measured at the longest line, you're supposed to put the, or install the following materials, evergreen trees, double alternating shade trees, four also with ornamental trees there would be three and then shrubs. That's a lot of plant material and that's just for the nuisance buffer.

MR. KING: It says nuisance, it doesn't necessarily mean it's a nuisance. That is a short term for what in the ordinance. I think it talks about residential, nonresidential.

2

Ĭ

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: Where you have an incompatible edge between two different uses, not even zoning districts, the different uses and that's what's occurring here. We do have an edge or a hard edge where there was a Christmas tree farm here and now it's proposed to be a winery.

I would say based on the discussion that they've had about their pesticides, their use of equipment, et cetera, four times a year, this is going to be a little bit different from the Christmas tree farm. A little bit more intense. I would say that that would be the minimum buffer that would be asked for. Then you go into the filtered buffer. The one that I would suggest would be for the windbreak or the heavy screening. What this is, the reason why I'm suggesting it is when you look at the types of agricultural uses, we have a lot of different changing circumstances with our soils and climate conditions.

We have a lot more drought

conditions that happen now. We have a lot less rain that comes in. Things get very heated up and we are a windy community. We are near the shore. Upper Township is right on the mainland.

What happens is if you have some drier conditions, depending on the variety of grape that they're growing, these soils may not be watered every day. There is a propensity for dust to carry over the property line and go onto an adjoining property.

I would recommend as a professional planner, as somebody who has dealt with the preservation of farms being very near and dear to me, that they would look at a windbreaker heavy screening.

This would provide the additional protection that would be requested of the surrounding property items. It's a little bit more vague in language, but it allows for a lot more latitude and request additional screening, and what it is looking at is a double staggered row of dense evergreen plants shall be specified.

Then this is obviously to be resolved with 1 the municipal professionals to their 2 satisfaction. 3 MR. KING: Your 4 recommendation as a land use planner, given 5 all the circumstances to be that a wind 6 breaking buffer should be installed in the 7 buffer area that they've described? 8 MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: Yes. 9 MR. KING: Is there any real 10 good reason not to do that? 11 MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: None 12 that I can think of in my professional 13 opinion. 14 15 MR. KING: Is there anything 16 else you'd like to address regarding 17 concerns regarding the application? 18 MS. WOOLLEY-DILLON: No, the only thing that I would maybe like to add is 19 20 that the development has had an impact on 21 the neighborhood. We expect existing 22 nonconforming uses to go out of their 23 lifespan at some point in time. 24 obviously has not happened. 25 They've changed the crop

here, they're changing what's happening. 1 There's now processing on the plan. 2 would respectfully request that you as the 3 agricultural board consider what we're 4 requesting with additional buffers and the 5 challenges that we're having with the site 6 circulation. 7 MR. KING: Thank you. I'd 8 like to call certain of my clients to speak 9 and express their concerns. 10 MR. BATISTINI: Of course. 11 MR. KING: I would ask 12 everyone to try to depersonalize your 13 comments as much as possible, express the 14 impact that the proposal has on you, and why 15 16 you think the additional landscape buffering 17 would be helpful to you. If you could focus 18 your comments on that. 19 First, I'm going to call Ms. 20 Rae Jaffe. When you come up, please state 21 your name, spell it, give your property 22 address, and then Mr. Batistini is likely to 23 swear you in, correct, Mr. Batistini? 24 MR. BATISTINI: Correct. 25 MS. JAFFE: My name is Rae

Jaffe, R-a-e J-a-f-f-e. I live at 5 1 Gardners Lane in Beasley's Point. 2 (Ms. Jaffe sworn) 3 MS. JAFFE: I do. I have a 4 handout for the board. I would like them to 5 see (inaudible 01:52:08). This speaks to 6 the density of the neighborhood surrounding 7 the farm. It's color-coded to show the 8 property to directly adjoin his on all 9 corners. Also, the green line designates 10 the 200-foot notice area. 11 MR. BATISTINI: Did you say 12 you had another -- is it just this one and 13 another one? Thank you. 14 MS. JAFFE: Yeah. (Inaudible 15 16 15 01:53:28). I know they speak about the 17 lot size and density, but unless you 18 actually go there and look at it, you really 19 don't get the correct sense of how close the 20 neighbors are to its property. MR. BATISTINI: We're going 21 22 to identify these as the picture will be 0-2 23 and the map will be O-3. I'm wondering if 24 we should take a 10-minute break before we

get in any further. Allow some people to go

1	to the men's room, ladies' room, and so
2	forth. Why don't we take a 10-minute break
3	and reconvene at 1:10? Sound like a plan?
4	(Recess taken)
5	(Proceedings resume)
6	MR. BATISTINI: On the
7	record. At this point, I'm going to ask the
8	board members just to say their names for
9	the record and that they're present.
10	MR. SCHUMANN: Robert
11	Schumann.
12	MS. WHEELER: Sue Ann
13	Wheeler.
14	MR. STILES: Matthew Stiles.
15	MR. HAND: JP Hand.
16	MR. NATALI: Alfred Natali.
17	MR. BATISTINI: These were
18	all The same board members that were here at
19	the beginning of the application hearing.
20	BOARD: Yes.
21	MR. BATISTINI: Mr. King,
22	please continue. I missed the address of
23	Ms. Jaffe.
24	MS. JAFFE: 5 Five Gardners
25	Lane, Beasley's Point.

MR. BATISTINI: Thank you, 1 Ms. Jaffe. 2 MS. JAFFE: You're welcome. 3 MR. BATISTINI: You may 4 continue. 5 MS. JAFFE: This neighborhood 6 is subjected to bimonthly spraying on Mr. 7 Halpern's property during the growing 8 season. Mr. Halpern uses a tractor with a 9 fan sprayer attached to apply his chemicals, 10 and that's in the picture that I passed 11 down. 12 He can be seen dressed in a 13 hazmat suit while sitting in a closed cab 14 tractor. His wife can be seen outside of 15 16 the tractor wearing a respirator while she 17 often watches him. Although Mr. Halpern 18 claims that what he is spraying is 19 permissible by law, we question the safety 20 of his application method regarding the close proximity to the neighbors, and the 21 22 fact that he takes all these precautions to 23 protect himself while applying these 24 chemicals whose drift can clearly be seen

going onto the neighbor's properties and the

odor has been detected as far as Route 9.

One of the neighbors who was jogging on Route 9 got hit with the drift, and she is here now, Carol Ruff in the back. He should have to put in an application exclusion zone or AEZ as required by law. That refers to the pesticide application equipment that must be free of all persons, not just workers, but all persons other than appropriately trained and equipped handlers during pesticide applications.

This is clearly not happening on this property. Just to address the DEP that did come down and read his records and said that he is doing everything to the letter of the law, I'm one of the people who called the DEP and I gave my name as did many other neighbors. Why they said they called anonymously, I don't know. Also, requested to find out what he was spraying, and it took until the end of September until I could even get copies of that.

You can understand, I hope why it's very disturbing to us when we are subject to the drift and the spray and we

are not protected in any way.

Halpern should be a responsible neighbor and put in -- I have a 20-foot buffer, but some people say 30, some say 40 consisting of trees space so that their canopy will catch any drift before it reaches the neighbor's properties. Something we feel a fence would not be able to sufficiently handle.

At the very least, Mr.

We are also concerned with chemical seepage into the ground, potentially contaminating the water to our wells and unfortunately, the potential harmful effects from what Mr. Halpern is doing may not be seen in the immediate future.

However, that doesn't mean that we will not suffer the consequences from his actions. We are all too familiar with mesothelioma from working with asbestos. The harmful effects of cigarette smoking, all things that represented is not being harmful, that it turned out to have dark consequences for many of those exposed.

On the map that I handed out,

1	it shows the red dots that signify all the
2	school bus stops around this property and
3	two of them are at the entrances to Mr.
4	Halpern's property where school children get
5	on and off the school bus, and the yellow
6	designates the density of the houses around
7	his property, which is shown in red.
8	The green line is everyone
9	within the 200-foot notice and that
10	consisted of 41 houses, 41 houses that are
11	close to this.
12	I guess it's a bottling event
13	now. Thank you very much.
14	MR. KING: Thank you, Ms.
15	Jaffe.
16	MS. JAFFE: You're welcome.
17	MR. KING: Jeanette Thonsen,
18	are you out there? Come on down. Say your
19	name and where you live.
20	MS. THONSEN: Hello, my name
21	is Jeanette Thonsen, T-h-o-n as in Nancy,
22	s-e-n. I live at 3 Lake Corson lane in
23	Marmora. I believe I'm Lot 27 directly
24	adjacent to the Halpern's vineyard.
25	MR. BATISTINI: What was that

1	address? I'm sorry.
2	MS. THONSEN: 3 Lake Corson
3	Lane.
4	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you.
5	MR. KING: You're adjacent to
6	the compound?
7	MS. THONSEN: Yes. My
8	backyard backs right up to the vineyard.
9	MR. BATISTINI: She needs to
10	be sworn in.
11	(Ms. Thomsen sworn)
12	MR. KING: Is what you just
13	testified to, is that true?
14	MS. THONSEN: Yes.
15	MR. KING: You heard us
16	discuss the ordinance in Upper Township that
17	provides for insulation of trees in the
18	buffer between residential and commercial
19	zones?
20	MS. THONSEN: Yes.
21	MR. KING: Is that something
22	that you believe would be beneficial to you
23	and your neighbors if those trees were
24	required?
25	MS. THONSEN: Yes, my husband

and I built our house there 37 years ago, and at that point, it was still a Christmas tree farm and there was never a problem with the owners then. We associated back and forth. We put a tree buffer there, we planted cypress trees in the back, and actually one just died and we had to take it down so now we have a big opening to the vineyard.

It was always important that we have that natural barrier there rather than a fence because the natural barrier just was looked nicer. We have a pool in our backyard, and so my backyard is a sanctuary. It's beautiful, it's quiet, it's calm. I just have a lot of concerns about the vineyard turning into a commercial operation where he's only talking about bottling, hand bottling so many bottles of wine at this point.

MR. KING: Do you believe that installation trees in the buffer zones would more closely recreate what was there previously that you bought and accepted it as present?

MS. THONSEN: Yes, and I also 1 think it would help with the noise and when 2 he does the applications and that type of 3 thing. It would just keep an extra natural 4 barrier so that we didn't get any of the 5 overspray or anything like that. 6 MR. KING: Thank you. Next, 7 Doreen Gallagher. 8 UNIDENTIFIED: She wants more 9 trees, but the guy wants us to take these 10 trees out and put up a fence. 11 (Ms. Gallagher sworn) 12 MR. BATISTINI: Please tell us 13 your name and your address. 14 MS. GALLAGHER: Doreen 15 16 Gallagher, 11 Bayaire road, Beasley's Point. 17 I purchased my home, Bayaire in 2008. It seemed the perfect place for me to retire 18 19 close to my family. Bayaire is 20 approximately 32 feet wide, not 50 feet as shown on the plan, and we have no sidewalks. 21 22 Most of the homes were built with one car 23 garage or driveway. 24 Today, most families have two 25 cars, so when they have company, everyone

needs to park on the street, which then
makes Bayaire a one-way street. Bayaire is
unique in the fact that we have the
residents of Homestead Court, which must use
Bayaire to access Route 9.

Bayaire has now doubled the amount of residents using one small street, which has a very dangerous curb when you're making a left turn heading south on route nine, because of a curve there and you can't see the coming traffic.

There are children on

Bayaire, they ride their bike play ball in
the street, and take the bus to and from
school.

The bus stop at Bayaire in Homestead, which is the entrance driveway to the farm. Traffic is just one of several concerns which will cause irreparable harm to our small family neighborhood. Thank you.

MR. KING: Thank you, Ms.

Gallagher. Next I would call Maria. I'm

going o mess it up. Busc, B-U-S-C. I did the

best I could.

1	MR. BELL: Get two out of
2	three. That's pretty good.
3	MR. KING: Must be in the Hall
4	of Fame.
5	MS. BUSC: Come on Richard,
6	help me up.
7	MR. KING: Yes, ma'am.
8	(Inaudible 00:10:43).
9	MS. BUSC: There we go.
10	MR. KING: State your name,
11	address, and we'll swear you in.
12	MS. BUSC: Maria Busc, 6
13	Gardners Lane.
14	(Ms. Busc sworn)
15	MR. BATISTINI: What would you
16	like to tell us today?
17	MS. BUSC: Good afternoon,
18	and thank you for the opportunity to express
19	my concerns. Some of this might be a bit
20	redundant, I apologize for that. I hope
21	that all members of the board had time to
22	visit our residential neighborhood to
23	understand why many are opposed to this
24	commercial venture.
25	If you are unable, please

reference the highlighted map. The Halperns do not exist in a vacuum. It is surrounded by single-family homes on rather small lots, many on the Bayaire side, even less than one-quarter of an acre. Please reference board one.

For the record, this is an overhead view of the section of Marmora from south to north, Randolph to Seaview, and west to east. From Frederick Avenue to the Garden State Parkway, the Halperns' property is shaded in red. Note the proximity to the elementary school. You can see that right here.

This neighborhood is full of young families with children. Also, note on board two for the record, this is a tax map of Upper Township Sheets 27, 01, 02, 03 and sheets 28, 28/01, 28/02. There are five school bus stops in the surrounding area.

Two located at or within feet of the Halperns' entrances on Bayaire and Route 9.

Now you're saying you're not going to enter on Route 9. So that's a moot point, but you can see the infamous cones at the Bayaire

entrance, which is right here, and here's the school bus, which we just talked about this at Homestead at the entrance to Mike's property.

MR. BATISTINI: We're going to identify these as poster board number one will be 03 --

MR. KING: 04.

MR. BATISTINI: I'm sorry, and poster board number two will be 05.

MS. BUSC: Bayaire Road is approximately 32 feet wide with no sidewalks. I measured this myself. I'm not sure why this is illustrated on the plan as 50 feet. As often as the case residents park in front of their homes, effectively making this a one-way street. Children ride their bikes and walk to the bus stops in school here, people walk their dogs and exercise on our residential cul-de-sac streets. This is just not the place for commercial vehicles that will likely miss the small entrance on Route 9.

Well, we took that out of here and use Bayaire to turn around. The

mere fact that over 40 properties within the 200-foot notice shown on the red line on board two, illustrates that this is just not the proper location for a commercial venture, especially one involving alcohol.

Another concern for me is the application of pesticides, fungicides, and herbicides. Science and common sense would suggest that chronic exposure should be avoided. A proper buffer line of trees as mandated by Upper Township may help limit aerial exposure to the surrounding properties.

The seven ornamentals spaced over 50 feet apart only on the Bayaire side is not close to being sufficient. This whole property requires buffers.

The existing trees on neighboring properties were not planted for this use. Most properties here, not by choice, have well water, and only time will tell what the long-term effects of any pesticide uses has on health, especially on the children who bathe, drink, brush their teeth and play pools filled with well water.

1	I would not want that on my conscience.
2	The possibility that as a commercial
3	venture, we could be exposed to restricted
4	use pesticides is frightening. Another
5	reason why this is just not the proper
6	location.
7	The Halperns have rights to
8	use their land for their grape growing
9	venture, but not at the expense of the
10	health and safety of the other residents.
11	This non-commercial venture should be put
12	under the jurisdiction of Upper Township who
13	can enforce proffer buffers and prohibit
14	commercial traffic. All property owners
15	here have the right to feel secure and
16	peacefully enjoy their properties. Thank
17	you for listening.
18	MR. KING: The next person I
19	ask you to consider a testimony is Andrew
20	Shaw.
21	MR. SHAW: Good afternoon.
22	(Mr. Shaw sworn)
23	MR. BATISTINI: Tell us your
24	name and address, please.
25	MR. SHAW: Andrew Shaw, 6

Lake Corson lane.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BATISTINI: What would you like to tell us?

MR. SHAW: I live in the house on the corner of Lake Corson lane and Allendale and as I leave my house in the morning, the front door looks down Allendale through the clearing to the vineyard.

There's a gate there now.

I'm relieved to know that commercial traffic will not be on our residential street. I have a handout that I made, but you've already seen it because it's up on the board there.

We heard some information from Upper Township regarding the buffer zones, and typically the performance standard would be for all sites between dissimilar uses, residential and commercial or two rows of evergreen's space, 10 feet or 14 feet apart.

Additionally, there's some other performance standards regarding noise.

Noise levels for commercial and industrial enterprises shall be designated and operated

in accordance with regulations established
by DEP as they're adopted and amended.

Odors shall not be discernible at the lot,
line, or beyond to such an extent that they
become a nuisance. Vapor, no use shall
produce smoke ash, dust, fumes, vapors,
gases, or other forms of air pollution,
which could cause damage to the health of
any person, animal, or vegetation, or, which
could cause excessive soiling.

I understand that Mr. Halpern is appealing to you for his SS AMP, and I would ask that you consider some of these standards from Upper Township in your decision. Also, I understand from the regulations that it's permissible for wineries to bring juice in from other locations and bottle that at their facility, and to the extent that you would be providing an approval.

My guess is that there
wouldn't be any limit on how many gallons he
could run through his bottling operation or
how they would be delivered, or if they
would have to be grown on the site and

that's a concern for commercial use next to a residential property. I would ask you to consider that in your decision-making. I'm not against farmers. I'm not against farms. This is a commercial operation here. I would ask you to please be considerate of the residents in the neighborhood, and thank you very much for your time.

MR. KING: Are there any other members of my group that aren't on my list but would like to speak?

AUDIENCE: Yeah.

MS. KEMINOSH: My name is Carolyn Keminosh. I'm at one Bayaire road. I'm the first house on the street.

(Ms. Keminosh sworn)

MR. BATISTINI: Thank you.

MS. KEMINOSH: Thank you. My concern most would be reiterating a lot of what people have said, the original proposal that went in specifically said the entrance and exit would be off of route nine, well, suddenly, which we all knew it's really coming down a commercial business traffic coming down a residential road that's less

than 32 feet wide, no sidewalks and we all walk in the street and we walk our dogs.

early in the morning, there's not much activity going on and when I stepped off of my yard with my dog, a car heading west on Route 9, which was exiting Bayaire, hit my dog, couldn't see him because there was a car parked out in front of my house so the visibility was blocked. He just happened to step out ahead of me on the leash, and he was hit. He did not die, fortunately, but it did limit his lifespan because that hit was wounded -- injured fairly well.

It's hard for me to fathom how our street that's residential can be used for commercial business.

I did a map of all the farmlands that are here, and I'd like to enter those, all of the commercial farmlands that have been preserved and are producing different types of produce or cattle and then their size. I have their size as well as which ones they are.

There is nothing in this

1	county that not one of the farm uses a
2	residential road to enter into a commercial
3	business. Not one. They're all
4	substantially larger from, the closest one
5	was at 40 acres, and then they just expanded
6	another 68. We're talking, it's
7	questionable that I'm going with the
8	county's assessment, if it were under five
9	acres, you have to be making more than
LO	50,000 a year on the property. If it's over
11	five acres, it's a minimum of 2,500.
12	We're on record, or the
L3	Halperns are on record saying they're making
L 4	2,500. It's a five-acre farm. It's also or
15	record that it's not by the county and Upper
16	Township assessment.
L7	My concern is the health and
18	safety of our neighborhood and our children,
19	and just to be able to enjoy where we live
20	and there's all my handouts. That's it.
21	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you.
22	We're going to identify this as 0-6 and it
23	is a
24	UNIDENTIFIED: I just got the
25	one they are using.

1	MR. BATISTINI: The map of
2	farms. It's three pages altogether. It
3	looks like its three pages altogether.
4	MR. KING: You're making the
5	three, in one exhibit?
6	MR. BATISTINI: Yeah, I'm
7	making the one exhibit. We are number of
8	main focus of farms is one page, Cape May
9	County open space. Farmland Preservation is
10	another page and list of wineries in Cape
11	May County is the third page.
12	MR. KING: Excuse me.
13	MS. EDWARDS: Hi, my name is
14	Heidi Edwards. H-e-i-d-i E-d-w-a-r-d-s.
15	And I live on 17 Bayaire Road.
16	MR. BATISTINI: Hold on.
17	Wait. Ms. Edwards. Hold on one
18	MS. EDWARDS: Sorry about
19	that.
20	MR. BATISTINI: No, we're
21	good.
22	(Ms. Edwards sworn)
23	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you.
24	Ms. Edwards, what would you like to tell us?
25	MS. EDWARDS: Hi. I have

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |

lived in my home on Bayaire for 32-plus years. My husband and I have raised our three children here. Obviously, we love our neighborhood. I love my neighbors, and I'm not the type to complain.

I have never complained about a teacher, a coach, a neighbor, or attended committee meetings to make a beef. I guess I'm now categorized as part of the nasty neighbors that has from the beginning opposed the use of the farm as a commercial enterprise, especially one that could possibly serve alcohol in the middle of a residential neighborhood.

I do not believe that this parcel of land meets the requirements of the Right to Farm. I do not believe that they have the required five point acres of land. I just cannot imagine that all the land is considered farmable except the footprint of the house.

Should it not be reasonable to think that the front lawn from the front porch to the mailbox and the side surrounding the house, one side was a

driveway at one time, then was taken out, and the other side contains machinery for the house should be considered part of the personal use of the property and not commercially farmable.

I would like to bring attention to the full responsibility of this board. If this application is approved, you will now be the enforcement officers. You will take the place of our Upper Township representatives. You'll take over zoning enforcement and policing.

I hope you're up to this
task. Who will look into the buffer zones
that are on the first set of plans that you,
the board was comfortable knowing the
existing buffers were at 30 feet, who will
come and measure to make sure that they meet
what you envisioned when you made that prior
decision with the buffers being at 30 feet
and that the numbers on the current plans
are correct, or if there are numbers.

I lack faith in the applicant because he allowed inaccurate numbers to be submitted to you to make the farm seem

bigger than it is. Why would the applicant allow misinformation? Because they are trying to fit a square peg in a round hole. First, the farm is not big enough to be a commercial farm, and second is located and surrounded by an existing residential neighborhood. My house stood before he requested a change of use on this property.

I worry that the board will approve this application for a bottling plant and that in a few years as planned that this will turn into a winery that the Halperns desired and have not given up on per Mr. Halpern's own words. Will the Halperns ever meet the requirements of three acres of farming? Who will check? Why is the application not using Route 9 as a driveway?

Has anyone checked into the NJDOT application? In October 2021, we were advised that it was 75 percent complete and would be approved soon. Was that more misinformation? Now Bayaire will be the entrance for the bottling facility and then the winery that is desired. Within a few

years, Bayaire Road cannot accommodate any more traffic than a residential neighborhood period. In the 30-plus years, we have lived in this quiet residential neighborhood.

We've made changes to our house. We applied for building permits, hired contractors, had inspections, received approvals all through our township office, and doing what is required and asked, I do not understand how and why this oversight will not be required to a farm that does not meet the township guidelines as a commercial farm, this measurement is so close. Should we not hit pause and have a third party make sure the applicant's measurements are correct, especially since we were misled on the first set of plans? This is so important to our quiet existing neighborhood.

I'm not in opposition to farming. I am opposed to the commercial venue that will come after the bottling facility is approved. This plan does not work in the small neighborhood for all the

1	reasons we have addressed. I would like to
2	thank our township for allowing us to voice
3	our concerns and watching out for our
4	neighborhood. I hope you will not usurp
5	their insight into how to govern their
6	neighborhoods. Thanking thank you for
7	allowing me to speak my heartfelt concerns.
8	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you,
9	Ms. Edwards.
10	MR. KING: Anyone else?
11	MR. BATISTINI: Any other
12	member of the public would like to comment
13	on this application? Yes, ma'am. Ma'am,
14	will you state your name and address?
15	MS. NEISS: My name is
16	Natalie Neiss. I am Block 476, Lot 29,
17	Block 456 Lot 1301, 456, 1302. All in Upper
18	Township, Cape May County.
19	(Ms. Neiss sworn)
20	MR. BATISTINI: What are the
21	street addresses for those blocks? You
22	might have said them, but I missed what the
23	street address was.
24	MS. NEISS: 1 I have to put
25	them on here?

1	MR. BATISTINI: I'd like to
2	know, yes.
3	MS. NEISS: 759 Route 50,
4	Petersburg, Woodbine by mailing.
5	MR. BATISTINI: Proper
6	spelling of your name?
7	MS. NEISS: N-e-i-s-s.
8	MR. BATISTINI: Your first
9	name again was, I missed it. I'm sorry.
10	MS. NEISS: Natalie.
11	MR. BATISTINI: You're on
12	Route 50 and that address was?
13	MS. NEISS: 759 R-o-u-t-e 50
14	Woodbine, W-o-o-d-b-i-n-e, New Jersey 08270.
15	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you.
16	What would you like to tell us?
17	MS. NEISS: I question what
18	the alternative to the Upper Township
19	Halpern Grape Farm acquired in 2005 with
20	deed of easement is at this point. I would
21	go on to request to know Upper Township
22	master planners in 2007, 2011, who they
23	were, because they were not Tiffany
24	Morrissey.
25	I don't know if they can

answer that. There are numerous parks throughout Upper Township for children to play, for children to bike, for people to walk their dogs, for people to exercise that our tax dollars pay for. There were a lot of subdivisions put in early where there were tight lots.

I'm not exactly sure what year this subdivision went in, but quarteracre lots by today's standards, a residential lot is one acre with 140-foot frontage. The fact that this is a farm and continually farmed more than sixteen years, the laws, Right to Farm laws in 1983, 1998 I assume they apply.

In 2005, this farm, the

Halpern farm was preserved farm before the

Halperns' purchased it. It was preserved by

the New Jersey state, by the Cape May

County, and the Upper Township by

Resolution. In my opinion, in 2005, Upper

Township had their time to consider

lighting, buffering traffic, and all these

people that have been in their homes for

prior, well, some of them I heard say

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thirty-two, thirty-three years, they had the 1 opportunity to go out and get involved in the township and be concerned with what was going on in their Bayaire Road or Allendale road. Also on Route 9.

I respectfully request to know who the clients are on Mr. King's list. He is a partner to Jeff Barnes, who is our Upper Township zoning and board solicitor. He's here today representing, I think seven people. I'm not sure how many are on the list. Andrew Shaw, I believe, came under that list. He testified today and I thought Mr. Batistini when you opened, you said people represented by attorneys and then people not represented by attorneys. Shaw is on the planning or zoning board in Upper Township presently, to my knowledge.

MR. BATISTINI: Ms. Neiss, are you for or against the application?

MS. NEISS: I request that the application for the SS AMP be granted, and I object to my Upper Township Cape May tax dollars paying for Mr. Corrado and Tiffany Morrissey and I find it not

1	reasonable that this has been carried out as
2	long as it has. It's an enormous cost to
3	the taxpayers and probably to Mr. Halpern as
4	well, the fact that he bought a preserved
5	farm.
6	Again, I'm not sure what the
7	alternative is, if they don't want the
8	farming, maybe they could all sell their
9	homes and move elsewhere, or thank you.
10	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you.
11	Any other member of the public have
12	anything?
13	MR. KING: One written
14	statement.
15	MR. BATISTINI: That's the
16	DOT statement.
17	MR. KING: A written
18	statement subject
19	MR. BATISTINI: There's a
20	written statement by Karen and Yassen, oh,
21	man. R-e-i-s-c-h-k-e, maybe. Typically, I
22	don't know how this board does it or has
23	done it in the past, but this would be
24	hearsay. It's a document that is brought
25	before us, but the person that's making the

1	statement is not here for cross-examination,
2	we wouldn't consider that in a legal
3	courtroom. We wouldn't consider it to be
4	part of opposition at a land use hearing.
5	Is it this board's practice to accept these?
6	BOARD: No.
7	MR. BATISTINI: No, I don't
8	think you could do it. We're not going to
9	accept this written comment. Next, the
10	young man in the blue. Oh, man. What is
11	your name and address?
12	MR. HODUKAVICH: My name is
13	Thomas Hodukavich, H-o-d as in David u-k-a-v
14	as in Victor i-c-h. I am at 5 White Pine
15	Lane, Petersburg.
16	MR. BATISTINI: White Pine
17	Lane.
18	(Mr. Hodukavich sworn)
19	MR. BATISTINI: What would you
20	like to tell us?
21	MR. HODUKAVICH: Very briefly,
22	I grew up on a farm in Delaware. My dad was
23	a farmer. I farmed with him for a few
24	years. I have a Bachelor of Science degree
25	in plant science from the University of

Delaware. I'm also an attorney in the state of Delaware. I moved to Upper Township in 2004, been living there since then.

And one of the things that we and my ex found attractive about Upper

Township was the combination of the residential neighborhoods and the rural character of many of the areas in Upper

Township. I live right around the corner from the famous Sunflower Farm there.

That's one of the thing appealing things about Upper Township.

I've heard the testimony and I understand the concerns that have been raised. Overall, with my agricultural background and that's what brought me from childhood -- childhood to adulthood was the product of a farm. I encouraged the board to look favorably upon the application to the extent possible. Thank you.

MR. BATISTINI: Thank you.

Any other member of the public? Mr. King?

MR. KING: I defer to the

member, Mr. Batistini. I defer to the member

of public. I did want to say something in

closing from attorney. Thank you, sir.

Obviously, my colleague, if we get an opportunity as well, I expect. You always want to tell the board what you want, and then give your testimony, then say what you want again. I just want to make clear what we're really requesting at this hearing, we're not necessarily requesting that you rule that they can't have a farm. We have two primary concerns, and we're asking you to take this under advisement so that those issues can be clarified and you can make a better-informed decision.

The first is the entrance way off Bayaire. As you can see in the testimony of my clients, that is a critical issue. In fact, the last time they were here, everything was directed to Route 9, which really is where it should be directed, and now it's going to Bayaire.

Well, when you look at the entranceway on the plan before you, it is virtually impossible to discern that entranceway. It doesn't even say entranceway, and they're using half of a

1

6 7

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's a residential lot that is an lot. undifferentiated roadway that is now subsumed by vacation -- that the streets were vacated. Now they're owned by the people on each side that is used indiscriminately by this commercial operation.

Although Mr. Orlando took out -- I don't think it was his ruler, I think he borrowed someone's, he measured how wide that area was. That is between the pavement and the lot line. It got as low as 12 feet, even based upon his analysis. To approve a commercial development, which essentially you're doing site plan approval without a clear delineation of the entranceway to me is irresponsible.

I don't think this is an irresponsible board. I think you should require before you vote on anything, that you actually get a clear delineation of what that entrance is. Second, with regard to the buffering, you are standing in for the Upper Township planning board and zoning board. We've described to you the ordinance

and if I haven't put the exact number in the record, I would like to do that and the ordinance is section 20-5.8 performance standards for all uses. That is the ordinance that says that when you have a non-residential against a residential, you should put some kind of buffers, not just space, and not just a fence that's between residences but instead we're asking that you put a row of trees, two rows of trees as described in that ordinance along separating the commercial establishment from the residential establishment.

There's somewhere between 20 and 40 feet, depending on what you approve in that area to do that, and the testimonies that this was once a tree farm and the testimonies that they're concerned about over spray. Now, whether or not that's poisonous or not, the person spraying it is in a hazmat suit. That is very bad optics.

These folks are just asking that rows of trees be put up to help offset that, there should be a pause put on this while we figure out the entranceway and

while they come up with some better 1 landscaping, that's more consistent with the 2 Upper Township ordinance. 3 That's what we're asking for 4 today. We reserve our rights on the five 5 That's for another day. acres. 6 On those two principal 7 things, now you've heard the people's 8 testimony. It's not just a lawyer 9 complaining about it, its residents and 10 that's not all of them that are genuinely 11 concerned about the use of Bayaire Road and 12 the buffer between their homes and this 13 commercial property. 14 I think at a minimum, you 15 16 should give it the time to develop those 17 issues before you vote, and that can be as 18 soon as they come up with a better plan and 19 a clearer plan. Thank you for the time and 20 your patience. I really do appreciate it. 21 MR. BATISTINI: All right. 22 Any other member of the public? 23 MR. CORRADO: I want to speak 24 briefly. 25 MR. BATISTINI: Mr. Corrado,

come on up.

MR. CORRADO: Thank you. I want to thank the board as well for allowing us to present. I think that we -- the Township has made its position clear. We want this board to take the steps that we think are necessary to adequately protect the neighbors. If this board wants to require additional landscaping, we certainly wouldn't object to that.

We have reviewed the plan. I think you're your job is not to apply the Upper Township ordinances, but to use them as a guidepost or a guideline to take them into consideration when you determine the extent to which the surrounding neighborhood needs to be protected or saved from any adverse effect from this proposed SS AMP.

Again, I thank the board and we only ask that when you make your decision on this you do so in a way that makes sure that the suggestions that we've made are incorporated to protect the surrounding neighborhood. Thank you.

MR. BATISTINI: Thank you,

Mr. Corrado. All right. That's the end of public comment at this point. Mr. Bell, summation if through the listening to all of the public comment, there is any indication of potentially providing more buffer that your client is willing to do let us know. If not, that's fine.

MR. BELL: I took the board down so you can see me, although I guess some people probably say was improvement if you couldn't.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm not going to reiterate everything that was said. What I will point out is with first, with respect to the landscaping, and the buffering we've agreed to what the township wanted other than the installation of that additional fencing.

They looked at our landscape buffer and determined it was adequate. We agreed to that. There's been a lot of discussion about there shouldn't be allowed to be a commercial enterprise. This is a preserved farm. There's nothing else you can do on it, but farm it. It's been a

farm for 70 years. There's not another option. There's not another use of this land that's even allowed under the law. This is a proposal that we think makes an economically viable farm.

I think what was very telling was the comments from, from Ms. Woolley-Dillon. What her comment was, well, this is a preexisting nonconform use. We want that to wither out and die. I believe that was her comment. To do what? To do what with the land? It cannot be anything else. This is not -- cannot be turned into housing because it's in a residential zone like you would think of in a normal preexisting nonconforming use.

This is not starting from a blank slate. A lot of these proposed comments that we heard as well if you're designing this from a blank piece of land, as this was all being built from nothing but that's not the situation we have. We took over on a blank piece of land, as this was all being built from nothing but that's not the situation we have. We took over a

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |

preserved farm that was preserved with the agreement of Upper Township, with the agreement of this board, with the agreement of the State of New Jersey.

We're here under the Right to

Farm Act for the reasons that the right to

Farm Act exists, because we understand that

neighbors are concerned.

We've done our absolute best to address those concerns but we're doing so in a way that stills allows us to farm.

With respect to the entrance and the traffic. Been growing grapes there for six years, what I did not hear was a single person say that there was some incident with traffic coming in and out of this particular property.

Nothing is going to change with respect to the entrance that exists now. The traffic is going to be the same as it exists now. It's going to be farmed responsibly. It's going to be farmed in accordance with all the DEP regulations. The generally accepted agricultural management practice.

The SADC is already adopted for tree fruit production with the Rutgers Cooperative Extension determinations for fertilizer and pesticides on grape production.

This is the least impact that we can have on a neighborhood and still have a viable farm. The only allowable use of this land. I'm hearing counsel say we should kick the can down the road again. Enough is enough.

We've gotten to the point where it's time to vote on this application and we can go through and try to nitpick things in the plans. The bottom line is our buffering is adequate. The township agrees our buffering is adequate. You've heard expert testimony that the buffering is adequate. The entranceway is the same entranceway. It's going to be used the same way it's been used for the last six years.

Pesticides and fertilizer going to be used the same way that they've been used in accordance with the law.

1	There's been no problems with that. No one
2	has found any issues with drift that the DEP
3	has found or anything impacting other
4	properties. This is the type of application
5	and the reason that CADB like yourselves
6	exist in order to ensure that preserve
7	farmland can continue to be preserved in the
8	least impactful manner.
9	I'd ask that that you
10	approve it subject to the conditions that I
11	put forth at the beginning that are
12	contained in our proposed resolution. I
13	won't read them all again as well as the
14	additional conditions we agreed to today
15	that were proposed by the township, but with
16	those conditions, I think it's an
17	appropriate application. I asked you to
18	vote yes.
19	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you,
20	Mr. Bell. Mr. Bell, do you have a copy of
21	that proposed resolution with you that you
22	could provide to me?
23	MR. BELL: Yeah, absolutely.
24	MR. BATISTINI: All right.
25	Board have any final comments, questions

1	before we set this up for a vote on the SSIP
2	and the requests by Mr. Bell?
3	JP: Just one question, was
4	it made clear that the double buffer of
5	trees is off the table? Are they agreeable
6	to do that or they're not agreeable?
7	MR. BATISTINI: I think
8	that's a fair question. If there is any
9	type of buffer, whether it's double, single,
10	more ornamental trees, it's entirely up to
11	
12	MR. BELL: No, the buffering
13	that we propose is what, which is the
14	buffering that the township is agreed with
15	exactly is what we're
16	MR. BATISTINI: Now, you as a
17	board can tell them they have to put in
18	more. That's entirely
19	MR. BELL: I misspoke.
20	Landscaping. I apologize.
21	MR. BATISTINI: We asked for
22	fencing and you said no.
23	MR. BELL: That's right.
24	MR. BATISTINI: Said no to
25	the fencing.

1	MR. BELL: Agreed on
2	landscaping, so I didn't misspeak. I
3	apologize.
4	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you.
5	MR. KING: It's just a
6	landscaping in defense.
7	MR. BATISTINI: Yes, Mr. King.
8	I'm sorry.
9	MR. BELL: The resolution I
10	think the resolution is all the conditions
11	that discussed.
12	MR. BATISTINI: Yeah, no,
13	they absolutely don't.
14	MR. KING: The
15	(Indiscernible) applied. Mr. Bell did say,
16	and trustees, but the resolution doesn't
17	have that
18	MR. BATISTINI: We're not
19	executing this resolution today. Let me be
20	clear to everybody. We're going to take a
21	vote because the proper resolution has to
22	have in my mind all the testimony that was
23	put in here today in addition to what's been
24	agreed for the party.
25	We're going to come back and

1	we're actually going to execute a real
2	resolution. The reason I asked this from
3	Mr. Collin is because it just gives me a
4	little bit of a greater opportunity to
5	condense what has happened here today from
6	all of the great public comment that we had
7	either way. This is just more of a sample
8	under guide. This is not what's being
9	executed at all today.
10	MATTHEW: Little question, I
11	guess I've heard a couple of times where
12	they're supposed to agree to not have
13	events. Are we allowed to contradict state
14	law now, the special occasion events on
15	preserved farmland act is law.
16	MR. BATISTINI: It has nothing
17	to do with us.
18	MR. BELL: Well, I can address
19	that if I don't mean to overstep by.
20	MR. SCHUMAN: It's a bottle in
21	place now. It's not right
22	MR. BELL: Certainly a state
23	law pass that allows special occasion events
24	on preserved farmland, preserved wines in
25	particular. However, what that statute

requires is that you get approval either from you or from the municipality.

We're not asking for that.

The only way we could have those events is to get one of those approvals that is not part of this application. We all know that Mr. Natali is not going to give us one.

MR. NATALI: I've got one.

You're giving up the special events that was just passed.

MR. BELL: We're not asking for any approval for that as part of this application. If we ever were to want to do a special occasion event, us or someone who buys it from us or whatever, in the future, the only way that could happen is to come back and make another application for approval under, if this SS AMP is adopted, it does not give us permission to do special occasion events.

MR. NATALI: The other question is I think I read somewhere here that Mr. Halpern might grow fruit trees and maybe add fruit wines but what I want to know is and I think it's here that the plan

1	is to produce about 600 cases or six or
2	thereabouts, and this came up in one of the
3	questions too. Is it your plan to just make
4	the wine from the fruit that you produce on
5	your property? Or is there a larger plan to
6	buy fruit or juice elsewhere?
7	MR. HALPERN: Good. Can I
8	answer?
9	MR. BELL: Yep.
10	MR. HALPERN: Can you speak
11	to the microphone just for the record.
12	Where is the mic?
13	MR. BELL: Right here. It
14	doesn't amplify.
15	MR. HALPERN: This is an ABC
16	issue as you're aware. We have applied and
17	we'll restart our plenary winery license,
18	which gives us the option of doing that, of
19	purchasing from outside. There are a lot of
20	rules about what, and this better than I do
21	about how you label when it can say New
22	Jersey when it can't, et cetera.
23	The grapes we have will be
24	made in wine without any question, and maybe
25	both sites may do that, but I I can't at

this point say that we won't buy from outside. Certainly we'll be looking at buying New Jersey fruit, too.

MR. NATALI: How would that be delivered?

MR. HALPERN: All right. It depends actually on where we decide to crush it. There are a lot of options on how we want to do that. I would suggest that if there's a limited up. This is really right into the ABC stuff. If I were to buy fruit and it would come in, it would most likely be local and I would bring it in.

MR. NATALI: You would bring it in? It's one thing to say everything is going to come in and out with the van. It would be another thing if you had a tractor-trailer coming in.

MR. HALPERN: I don't ever see us having the capacity to do that, but again, what I'm thinking of is tons, not tons of tons. I move tons all the time.

Our deliveries to my clients are generally between -- gosh, I want to say two and five tons, and that can be done with the

1	equipment I have no problem.
2	MR. SCHULMAN: You can do
3	that, but it's a small trail or a truck. I
4	did tons on that flat and tractor thing,
5	it's doable what he's saying, eight or nine
6	tons by a pickup truck at a trailer.
7	MR. HALPERN: That's another
8	7,000 bottles. I'm not sure we will have
9	the capacity anytime soon to do that. It's
10	a good metric to use. Again, to pick up I
11	might want to buy Chardonnay from an example
12	would be Sunny Slope. He sells it every
13	year.
14	He could pick up a couple
15	times and do that. It'd be very profitable
16	for us and not a lot of work to get it into
17	the building processed and eventually
18	bottled. I think it comes in the same way.
19	MR. NATALI: The same way
20	doing it with your own equipment.
21	MR. HALPERN: That I leave
22	with it, so my own equipment.
23	MR. BELL: Of course, you
24	will.
25	MR. KING: With that
ı	

1	testimony, there's virtually an unlimited
2	amount of traffic that could go into this
3	winery to drop off and pick up for bottling
4	purposes. Even though the number of your
5	grapes is limited.
6	MR. HALPERN: You want an
7	answer?
8	MR. BELL: Yeah.
9	MR. HALPERN: I don't know
10	how to answer that. There is only one
11	person in this room who has that experience
12	and sitting on the board. I have some idea
13	of how this is done from selling and hanging
14	out with wineries. I don't think unlimited
15	is financially possible.
16	MR. CORRADO: It's supposed
17	to be
18	MR. KING: Go ahead, Mr.
19	Corrado.
20	MR. BATISTINI: Let Mr. King
21	finish. Mr. King, finish
22	MR. CORRADO: Yeah, please.
23	MR. KING: (Inaudible
24	00:55:12).
25	MR. CORRADO: Playing the age

1	card on me.
2	MR. KING: I can't believe
3	you just might win that one.
4	MR. CORRADO: The testimony
5	before the board is that the traffic that is
6	be gonna be generated by this new
7	application is not going to be greater than
8	the traffic that is currently on the
9	accessing and egressing this site. Is that
10	true?
11	MR. HALPERN: Yeah, I think
12	that remains true.
13	MR. CORRADO: Not think, is
14	that true? That's true, yes or no?
15	MR. HALPERN: Yes, that's
16	true.
17	MR. CORRADO: Now that's, I
18	think that answers the question about
19	whether he's going to surreptitiously bring
20	in a whole bunch of other stuff. Another
21	possibility that the board could do here is
22	simply said as an additional condition, no
23	tractor-trailers on Bayaire Avenue.
24	There's lots of ways to
25	handle this but you're making an application

to this board. You can't leave these questions open. You have to --

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  HALPERN: Well, I have to get asked for --

MR. CORRADO: You've answered my question. I don't have anything else to add.

MR. KING: The answer and the testimony -- the answer he just gave and the testimony to the gentleman's question, are irreconcilably different. It's that I'm not going to increase the volume of traffic, but when this gentleman who has known a lot about farming and wineries apparently foresaw the possibility, I'm saying, wait a minute, have a bottling plant onsite.

That's different.

You can bring virtually unlimited amounts of grapes and juice to that site for bottling. The answer was, yeah, we might do that. Is that part of this SS AMP or is that something have to come in for a new application on, because it's a big, a tour guide to wine-tasting host, were not winery for a period of time,

and almost everything is bottled from elsewhere. That's one of the things they do. I'm trying to understand, there's two things are irreconcilable that answer in that testimony.

MR. NATALI: Well, no, the bottles themselves, that's a smaller thing, but the volume of either juice or grapes, and his testimony is that he's going to bring them in the same way he brings them in now, which is his pickup truck or, so that's okay. I would be worried about if he's bringing in a tractor trailer.

MR. SCHUMAN: He could be limited with the bottle on what you can produce anyway. What are you going to do?

MR. BELL: You can agree not to bring a tractor trailer.

MR. HALPERN: Collin is going to choke me but if we do this when we do this, I'm not taking anything out of there so anything I bring in will substitute for that traffic.

There's not going to be four harvests that leaves to go to my other

1	customers. They're going to sit there and I
2	doubt that I'll ever bring four more of the
3	equivalent size in.
4	MR. BELL: How big is the
5	building where you actually do the bottling?
6	Twenty-four hundred square feet?
7	MR. HALPERN: Yeah, 2,400.
8	MR. BELL: Can you store
9	tractor trailers worth of
10	MR. HALPERN: No. We don't
11	have the refrigerated facility to hold onto
12	the grapes.
13	MR. BELL: Were you agreeing
14	not to bring any tractor-trailers on onto
15	the property as a condition?
16	MR. HALPERN: Yes.
17	Absolutely.
18	MR. NATALI: See, that's what
19	I would be looking for.
20	MR. BATISTINI: Let's define
21	tractor-trailer.
22	MR. BELL: An 18-wheeler,
23	that's what I define a tractor-trailer.
24	MR. HALPERN: Can I ask a
25	question? What happens if I need a lumber

1	delivery? Like all of my neighbors that
2	comes on a large truck?
3	MR. NATALI: You said though,
4	you were done with that.
5	MR. HALPERN: With building?
6	MR. NATALI: No, with the
7	poles. I thought the reference for
8	MR. HALPERN: Yes, correct.
9	There are normal commercial deliveries from
10	Home Depot. I get an appliance that comes
11	in on a large truck.
12	MR. ORLANDO: Not a tractor
13	trailer.
14	MR. HALPERN: I'm good.
15	Thank you. That's a good clarification.
16	I'm good. No tractor-trailer. (Inaudible
17	00:59:00).
18	MR. BELL: If you were going
19	to pick up, for example, two tons of grapes,
20	how many loads with your pickup truck, would
21	that be?
22	MR. NATALI: Well, I would
23	say two, but as long as they're on pickup
24	trucks.
25	MR. HALPERN: No, with the

1	trailer, too. I've been doing this for a
2	long while, so we're a gypsy, we'll sell it
3	all over, so thank you.
4	MR. BELL: Anybody else need
5	
6	MR. BATISTINI: Maybe this is
7	covered by the bottle, I guess SS AMP, are
8	there time restraints like can't be bottling
9	at like three o'clock in the morning?
10	MR. BELL: Whatever the
11	normal business hours, township business
12	hours.
13	MR. BATISTINI: I rest my
14	case. We include Saturday and Sundays.
15	MR. BELL: Well, if you may.
16	MR. KING: Farmers work seven
17	days aren't
18	MR. BELL: Work seven days a
19	week.
20	MR. BATISTINI: Thank you,
21	Mr. Bell. All right. We talk about a few
22	other conditions that just came up. No
23	tractor trailers, business hours. Is there
24	any further discussion that this board may
25	have if we grant this that you may want to

see as an additional condition? We have already conditioned that the plans are going to be updated to show, and I'll go through them again, but I just want to refresh your memory that the plans are going to be updated to show the stores out outside outdoor storage. They're also going to be updated to show where the buffers are as well.

Of course we have no tractor-trailers. We're going to do business hours.

MR. BELL: That was just for modeling business hours to be.

MR. BATISTINI: Correct. I

don't think I'm -- oh, that the outside

storage is going to be maxed at six feet -
MR. BELL: Height.

MR. BATISTINI: Six feet on height. Yes, that the plans are also going to delineate the driveway. And I'm still going to go over these again, but I just want to make sure that if I'm missing anything from the board, does the board have anything additional and answer because I

think I got them all. No. All right.

We're going to take a three-minute break, so
I can actually put all this together so I
can regurgitate it to you. If you
guys want to give me five minutes so I can
put this together that'd be very helpful for
me. Let me know when we're back on the
record.

(Recess taken)

(Proceedings resume)

MR. BATISTINI: We good? I'm going to have the board members once again just say for the record that you're still here.

BOARD: Here.

MR. BATISTINI: At this
point, we are going to do there's going to
be a motion. You have heard testimony from
Vincent Orlando on behalf of the applicant.
You have heard testimony from the applicant
himself. You have heard testimony from
opposition from Upper Township is
represented by Frank Corrado, and you heard
testimony and opposition.

I shouldn't say opposition,

but you had heard testimony and concerns
from Upper Township by Tiffany Morrissey.
You have also heard testimony
recommendations from Richard King, who owns
-- actually from Richard King's expert
Barbara Woolley-Dillon. There might be
another name in there that I missed in her
long name, but I think it was it. Testimony
as a planner as to some of the suggestions
and or difficulties that she has with the
application.

You have also heard testimony from several neighbors that are brought up, issues related to traffic buffering, environmental matters. You have heard some testimony in favor of the application as well.

The motion will be to permit a site. The motion for this particular section of what we're here for today, we've already decided by a previous vote, but not in resolution that this meets the definition of a commercial farm in that the farm is greater than five acres and further that the applicant has demonstrated the agricultural

and agricultural products worth \$2,500 per year. That the farm property differential property taxation under the Farm Assessment Act also identifies or can be used as additional information or additional support for a commercial form. The applicant motion, I'm correcting this as I've gone along.

25

I apologize. The motion before us today is a motion that the applicant has come in for a site-specific agricultural management plan for the bottling and making of certain wine and associated byproducts not limited to grape seed oil, grape skin flour, grapevine, and fruit tree wood, or recognized generally accepted agricultural management practices in the State of New Jersey, that the development of wine production and bottling facility by retrofitting the existing pole barn as reflected on the applicant's second revised plan is consistent with the generally accepted agricultural management practices and the operation of wineries, the development of wine production and bottling

25

facility by retrofitting the existing pole banners reflected on the applicant's second revised site plan will not implicate health and safety are welfare issues in the applicants have a legitimate farm-based reason for same, that the following events and activities at the farm are usual and customary in wine production and bottling businesses and consistent with generally, except for that cultural practices to include the production and cultivation, harvesting storage of wine grapes, and fruit tree or tree fruit, including the application of appropriate pesticides and fertilizers, consistent with the requirements of any applicable state federal law, and that the application of agricultural and horticultural techniques, including the application of the appropriate pesticides and fertilizers shall be consistent with the Rutgers Agricultural Experiment Station 2019 commercial grape pest control recommendations for the State of New Jersey and that the implementation of the approved farm conservation plans

24

25

pursuant to the New Jersey administrative law, and that the permission of the bottling the production bottling, packaging, and storage of wine on the farm for the sale offsite and online, the production of bottling packaging and other storage of wine byproducts, including but not limited to the grape seed oil, grapefruit flour, grapevine, and tree fruit wine for sale, offsite and online, and the storage of supplementary and complimentary agricultural products such as branded wine glasses, wine accessory shirts, hats, and similar promotional materials shall be permitted, be it further resolved that the applicant has agreed to additional conditions to include, wrote them down for -- just a second, how could something possibly be missing when I just wrote it down?

Bear with me for just a second longer. Fall on the floor. The strangest of all things. There. The applicant will revise the plans to include delineation of the existing buffers delineation of the outside storage area that

currently extends to the fence line. The applicant will not store anything higher than six feet in the outside storage base area. The applicant will maintain our current fences and proposed fences. The applicant will delineate the driveway entrance.

The applicant agrees that there'll be no tractor travel deliveries that business hours shall be in accordance with the Upper Township and other applicable regulatory agencies.

In the event that traffic is substantially increased, the applicant or agreed party may return to the board or other avenues and remedies that are available to them by law. The applicant further agrees for purposes of conditions that all retrofitting construction approved by the SS AMP shall be done in accordance with the applicable construction and building code standards and shall be subject to inspection for compliance with the code requirements for the township above our and the other regulating entity. All wine

production bottling storage at the farm 1 shall be in compliance with applicable ABC 2 laws and regulations. 3 The farm shall comply with 4 all applicable ABC laws. If the farm's 5 operations substantially change or deviate 6 for the provisions of this SS AMP in the 7 future of the applicants, any aggrieved 8 party may return to the board to seek 9 appropriate leave provided by law. 10 Somebody wants to add any 11 conditions or any conditions that anybody 12 wants to add on the board member that I may 13 have missed. 14 MR. BELL: Mr. Batistini, 15 16 sir, just to be clear, the restriction to 17 business hours just for the bottling? MR. BATISTINI: Just for the 18 19 bottling, that's correct. Now I'm seeing 20 If somebody wants to make a motion, 21 MR. SCHUMANN: I'll make a 22 motion. 23 I'll second. JP: 24 MR. BATISTINI: When you give 25 your decision, please give some factual

reasons as to your support and how you going 1 to vote. 2 MR. SCHUMANN: I'm approving 3 I think they've well exceeded what it. 4 they've asked for. They've cut back from 5 what they originally wanted, and I think 6 it's plenty of buffer for the area, for the 7 agriculture industry. 8 MR. BATISTINI: You vote yes. 9 MR. SCHUMANN: Yes. 10 SUE: Yes. I'm sorry. 11 came in on a preserved farm and he's done 12 everything he's supposed to do. 13 MATTHEW: I'd say yes. 14 15 practically meets the definition of ag work 16 on a preserved farm in the Right to Farm 17 Act. I have a lot of 18 JP: compassion for the neighbors, but as one of 19 20 the commenters out of the audience today said your issue was with your township that 21 22 made the approval for a farm in the first 23 place. I'm referring to the five-acre 24 detail. I think that the applicant has gone

way out of their way to bend over backwards

a license.

to accommodate you as much as they can and so I vote yes as well.

MR. BATISTINI: Mr. Natali?

MR. NATALI: I vote yes. I

think that the resolution keeps a balance

between the interest of farming and the

interest of community. I would be

hard-pressed to think of what else could be

done with this land, given that it's a

preserved farm and given that the state

requires a vineyard as a condition to obtain

Without this farm, he couldn't have a winery. I'm voting yes.

MR. BATISTINI: All right.

The motion passes. I will draft a resolution that incorporates the commercial farm as well as the site-specific SS AMP and we will see where that takes us. Thank you for everybody's time and patience. Thank you for listening to my ramblings a little bit today, especially towards the end.

\*\*\*\*

\_ \_

## CERTIFICATION

I, KATHLEEN PRICE, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the County of Cape May Agriculture Development Board, Was Prepared Using the Required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings, to the best of my ability, which was compromised due to inadequate recording devices used throughout proceedings.

## Kathleen M. Price

Date: April 13, 2023

1 |

currently extends to the fence line. The applicant will not store anything higher than six feet in the outside storage base area. The applicant will maintain our current fences and proposed fences. The applicant will delineate the driveway entrance.

The applicant agrees that there'll be no tractor trailer deliveries that business hours shall be in accordance with the Upper Township and other applicable regulatory agencies.

In the event that traffic is substantially increased, the applicant or agreed party may return to the board or other avenues and remedies that are available to them by law. The applicant further agrees for purposes of conditions that all retrofitting construction approved by the SS AMP shall be done in accordance with the applicable construction and building code standards and shall be subject to inspection for compliance with the code requirements for the township above our and the other regulating entity. All wine